## Exploring structural determinants and the role of nucleolin in formation of the long-range interactions between untranslated regions of p53 mRNA

#### AGNIESZKA KILISZEK, WOJCIECH RYPNIEWSKI, and LESZEK BŁASZCZYK

Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, 61-704 Poznań, Poland

#### ABSTRACT

p53 protein is a key regulator of cellular homeostasis by coordinating the framework of antiproliferative pathways as a response to various stress factors. Although the main mechanism of stress-dependent induction of p53 protein relies on posttranslational modifications influencing its stability and activity, a growing amount of evidence suggests that complex regulation of p53 expression occurs also at the mRNA level. This study explores structural determinants of long-range RNA–RNA interactions in p53 mRNA, crucial for stress-dependent regulation of p53 protein translation. We demonstrate that the 8-nt bulge motif plays a key structural role in base-pairing of complementary sequences from the 5' and 3' untranslated regions of p53 mRNA. We also show that one of the p53 translation regulators, nucleolin, displays an RNA chaperone activity and facilitates the association of sequences involved in the formation of long-range interactions in p53 mRNA. Nucleolin promotes base-pairing of complementary sequences through the bulge motif, because mutations of this region reduce or inhibit pairing while compensatory mutations restore this interaction. Mutational analysis of nucleolin reveals that all four RNA recognition motifs are indispensable for optimal RNA chaperone activity of nucleolin. These observations help to decipher the unique mechanism of p53 protein translation regulation pointing to bulge motif and nucleolin as the critical factors during intramolecular RNA–RNA recognition in p53 mRNA.

Keywords: RNA structure; RNA-RNA interactions; p53 mRNA; nucleolin; RNA chaperone activity; p53 protein

#### INTRODUCTION

p53 protein is a transcription factor known for its tumor suppressor activity by controlling expression of hundreds of genes as well as microRNAs (Vousden and Lane 2007; Kastenhuber and Lowe 2017). It plays a critical role in cellular responses to a variety of stress conditions such as DNA damage, hypoxia, oncogene activation and heat shock by inducing cell-cycle arrest, senescence, or apoptosis (Bieging et al. 2014). Thus, dysregulation of the p53 protein expression has severe consequences on the cell fate and may lead to carcinogenesis. In fact, p53 is mutated in  $\sim$ 50% of all human tumors and in others its function is affected (Mantovani et al. 2019; Levine 2020). Under normal growth conditions, the cell maintains an almost undetectable level of p53 protein to avoid its potentially deleterious effect, but in response to stress the level of p53 rapidly increases (Aubrey et al. 2018). The main mechanism of stressdependent p53 protein induction relies on post-translational modifications increasing its stability and activity (Liu

Corresponding author: blaszcz@ibch.poznan.pl

et al. 2019). However, a number of investigations have revealed that the level of p53 protein can be effectively and rapidly modulated also during the translation initiation process (Haronikova et al. 2019; Swiatkowska et al. 2019). So far, numerous mechanisms of p53 protein translation regulation have been discovered including IRES (internal ribosome entry site) in the 5' terminal part of p53 mRNA (allowing cap-independent translation initiation of p53 protein in stress conditions) or alternative transcriptional promoters and alternative translation initiation codons (resulting in p53 mRNA isoforms exhibiting different translation efficiency) (Grover et al. 2009; Sharathchandra et al. 2014; Zydowicz-Machtel et al. 2018; Anbarasan and Bourdon 2019). Additionally, several IRES trans-acting factors (ITAF) (proteins and noncoding RNAs) have been identified to stimulate or inhibit translation of the p53 protein via interaction with untranslated regions and coding sequence of p53 mRNA (Mahmoudi et al. 2009; Haronikova

Article is online at http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna .079378.122.

<sup>© 2023</sup> Kiliszek et al. This article is distributed exclusively by the RNA Society for the first 12 months after the full-issue publication date (see http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml). After 12 months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

et al. 2019; Swiatkowska et al. 2019; Vadivel Gnanasundram et al. 2021).

Recently, another layer of complexity has been added to the regulation of p53 mRNA translation. A long-range RNA-RNA interaction between 5' and 3' complementary sequences (5' and 3'CS) located in the 5' and 3'UTRs (untranslated regions) of p53 mRNA has been discovered (Chen and Kastan 2010; Terzian and Lozano 2010). This is very uncommon among eukaryotes because such higher-order intramolecular contacts have been observed mainly in viruses (Nicholson and White 2014; Chkuaseli and White 2018). The 5'CS/3'CS base-pairing results in formation of a double-stranded region which is a target of two proteins that either stimulate (ribosomal protein L26, RPL26) or inhibit (nucleolin, NCL) p53 translation (Takagi et al. 2005; Chen and Kastan 2010; Chen et al. 2012). The available data suggest that in normal conditions, nucleolin down-regulates p53 translation by binding to a 5'CS/3'CS duplex. Following DNA damage, RPL26 outcompetes NCL from p53 mRNA through interaction with the RNA binding domain of nucleolin and disrupts formation of NCL-NCL homodimers. This leads to dissociation of NCL from p53 mRNA and binding of RPL26 to 5'CS/3'CS duplex, which stimulate synthesis of the p53 protein.

Nucleolin is the most abundant nonribosomal protein in the nucleolus, but it is also found in the cytoplasm and plasma membrane (Scott and Oeffinger 2016; Jia et al. 2017). NCL is involved in critical cellular processes such as chromatin remodeling and transcription and maturation of ribosomal RNAs (Ginisty et al. 1999; Mongelard and Bouvet 2007; Abdelmohsen and Gorospe 2012). It is composed of three structural domains (Cong et al. 2011). The amino-terminal domain is involved in the transcription and processing of ribosomal RNA. The central RNA binding domain contains four RNA recognition motifs (RRM 1-4) responsible for specific interaction with nucleic acids. The carboxy-terminal, RGG domain (rich in arginine and glycine) interacts nonspecifically with nucleic acids and proteins. Nucleolin is a translation regulator of many viral and cellular mRNAs. It binds to untranslated regions or coding sequences and stimulates or inhibits protein synthesis in normal and stress conditions (Izumi et al. 2001; Takagi et al. 2005; Bunimov et al. 2007; Chen and Kastan 2010; Miniard et al. 2010; Abdelmohsen et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2012; Hung et al. 2014; Han et al. 2021). Although existing data point to a significant role of nucleolin and long-range interactions in the regulation of p53 protein translation, the mechanism of formation of this RNA-RNA contact and the role of nucleolin in this process remains unknown.

Here, we investigated structural determinants of longrange RNA–RNA interactions in p53 mRNA and the role of nucleolin in this process. Using the SHAPE method (selective acylation analyzed by primer extension), we characterized the structural environment of the 5' and 3'CS in the context of full-length p53 mRNA and its shorter derivatives. We identified that part of the 5'CS, an 8-ntlong bulge motif, plays an important role in recognition of 5' and 3'CS since mutations of this region greatly reduce or inhibit this interaction. Moreover, we showed that nucleolin displays an RNA chaperone activity and by using the bulge motif in 5'CS facilitates pairing of complementary sequences from 5' and 3'UTR of p53 mRNA, and that this activity largely depends on the presence of all four RNA recognition motifs. These observations provide new insights into the unique mechanism of p53 protein translation regulation, pointing to an important role of bulge motif and nucleolin during the formation of long-range interactions in p53 mRNA.

#### RESULTS

# SHAPE mapping of the full-length p53 mRNA and its shorter derivatives identified regions potentially important in the formation of long-range interactions

To identify structural determinants of the formation of longrange interactions in p53 mRNA and track structural rearrangements during this process, we performed SHAPE mapping of regions encompassing 5' and 3'CS in the context of full-length p53 mRNA (FLmRNA, 2524 nt) and its shorter variants having only one of the complementary sequences. RNA P1–554 (554 nt) contained 5'UTR and half of the p53 coding sequence. RNA 3'UTR (1207 nt) represented isolated sequences of 3' untranslated regions of p53 mRNA (Figs. 1, 2A). The SHAPE method exploits 2'-hydroxyl-selective reagents (such as NMIA or 1M7) to map unpaired and flexible residues in RNA molecules (Wilkinson et al. 2006; Tijerina et al. 2007). Such regions tend to have higher reactivities than structurally constrained and base-paired nucleotides.

A comparison of SHAPE profiles of FLmRNA and P1–554 RNA revealed small but statistically significant differences in the 5'CS region and neighboring residues (Fig. 1A,C). In FLmRNA, nucleotide stretches C79-G85 (located on the opposite of the p53 protein translation initiation codon) and C92–U96 (part of the 8-nt bulge) possessed lower reactivity (Figs. 1A, 2). Reactivity of the C119–U125 was also lower in FLmRNA. On the other hand, nucleotides of the apical loop of the H56-169 hairpin increased their reactivity in FLmRNA. The NMIA reactivity profile of the 3'CS was similar in FLmRNA and isolated 3'UTR (Supplemental Fig. S1). However, examination of 1M7 reactivity profiles pointed out noticeable differences. A part of the 3'CS (nucleotides G1655-A1659) possessed lower reactivity in FLmRNA (Fig. 1B,C). Interestingly, this region was predicted to base-pair with the residues of the bulge region in the 5'CS (Fig. 2). Other observed differences concerned higher reactivity of some nucleotides adjacent to 3'CS (A1637, C1638, G1660 and G1676) in the FLmRNA. The distinct reactivity profiles of NMIA and 1M7 obtained for the 3'CS region in FLmRNA and 3'UTR may be a result of mapping reagent



**FIGURE 1.** Comparison of SHAPE data obtained for regions encompassing 5' and 3'CS in in vitro transcribed FL p53 mRNA and its shorter derivatives. (*A*) Comparison of reactivities for FLmRNA and P1–554 RNA. At the *top* is the step plot of NMIA reactivity for FLmRNA (black) and P1–554 (red). At the *bottom* is the difference plot calculated by subtracting the P1-554 intensities from those of the FLmRNA. Negative values indicate nucleotides with lower reactivity in FLmRNA (see text for details). Asterisks denote nucleotides with statistically significant differential reactivity (10% of highest SHAPE reactivity differences and a Bonferroni *P*-value: 0.025 using the Student's t-test). (*B*) Comparison of reactivities for FLmRNA and 3'UTR obtained with 1M7. (*C*) Secondary structure models of regions covering 5' and 3'CS. Nucleotides with lower reactivity in FLmRNA are denoted with circles.

bias toward specific nucleotides. NMIA map purine rather than pyrimidine residues while 1M7 is characterized by very even per nucleotide reactivity (Mortimer and Weeks 2007; Busan et al. 2019; Andrzejewska et al. 2021). Taken together, a comparison of SHAPE profiles for FLmRNA and its shorter derivatives indicated regions potentially important in the formation of long-range interactions in p53 mRNA.

# Secondary structure models of domains involved in long-range interactions in p53 mRNA

To obtain minimum free energy (MFE) secondary structure models of regions containing 5' and 3'CS, data derived from SHAPE mapping of FLmRNA with NMIA were incorporated into the SuperFold pipeline (Smola et al. 2015). Examination of SHAPE reactivities confirmed high agreement between predicted structural models and obtained SHAPE data. The structural environment of the 5'CS is presented in Figure 2B. 5'CS (nucleotides G82-G102) was located in the upper part of the long and thermodynamically stable hairpin the H56-169, which further comprised the translation initiation codon of the p53 protein. The predicted MFE structure of the H56-169 hairpin was in agreement with previous studies (Blaszczyk and Ciesiolka 2011; Gorska et al. 2013; Swiatkowska et al. 2019). A part of 5'CS was embedded in the hairpin stem while the central region folded into a large, 8-nt-long single-stranded bulge motif (A91-C98) (Fig. 2B). It has been shown that the H56-169 hairpin plays an important role in cap and IRES-dependent translation of the p53 protein via interaction with several regulatory ITAF factors Ciesiolka (Blaszczyk and 2011; Haronikova et al. 2019; Swiatkowska et al. 2019). The secondary structure of the region including 3'CS has not been investigated yet. In the predicted model, 3'CS was located in the 246-ntlong domain D1627-1872, organized by the extensive pairing of G1627-



FIGURE 2. Secondary structure models of domains containing 5' and 3'CS in full-length p53 mRNA (FLmRNA) obtained with NMIA in vitro. (A) Schematic representation of p53 mRNA and RNA constructs used for SHAPE mapping. Long-range interactions between untranslated regions is marked with a solid line. (B) The MFE structure models of H56–169 (*left*), D1627–1872 (*right*) and predicted base-pairing interaction between 5' and 3'CS (*middle*). 5' and 3'CS are marked with gray panels. In predicted 5'CS/3'CS interactions, residues of the 8-nt bulge (A91–C98) and the last three residues of 5'CS (U100–G102) are shaded green. The MFE structure models were predicted with the default maximum pairing distance (600 nt).

A1658 and U1841–C1872 (Fig. 2B). As a result, a long double-stranded stem was formed, having 29 bp interrupted by four bulges. The D1627–1872 domain contained a fiveway junction structure connecting four small hairpins and one branched region with two hairpins. 3'CS (C1652–C1669) was located at the junction motif. In the predicted structure, the proximal part of 3'CS was involved in the formation of the stem of the D1627–1872 domain, while the distal residues participated in folding of the H1 hairpin.

The overall fold of domains containing 5' and 3'CS was supported by the calculated pairing probabilities (Supplemental Fig. S2). For the H56–169 hairpin, high base-pair probability was calculated for nearly all doublestranded regions, including most of the paired residues of 5'CS. In the case of the D1627–1872 domain, high base-pair probability concerned the double-stranded stem (A1643–G1657/C1842–U1855, including the proximal part of the 3'CS) as well as the branched structure (U1691–C1698/G1774–A1781) and hairpin H4 (G1800–C1805/G1814–C1819) (Supplemental Fig. S2).

#### An 8-nt bulge motif in 5'CS drives base-pairing of regions involved in long-range interactions in p53 mRNA

To characterize the details of the formation of long-range interactions in p53 mRNA, we examined association of 5' and 3'CS located in two separate RNAs (in *trans*). First, we performed temperature-assisted formation of the 5'CS/3'CS complex using two fairly short, model RNA oligomers: H82–135 hairpin and an RNA oligomer corresponding to the 3'CS sequence (3'CS oligo) (Fig. 3). The H82–135

#### Kiliszek et al.

hairpin constituted an upper part of the H56–169 domain. It contained 5'CS and it has been predicted to fold in the same way (including the region encompassing the bulge motif) in the isolated form and as a part of larger fragments of p53 mRNA. In the presence of both RNA oligomers, an RNA– RNA complex was detected (Fig. 3A, lane 3). In the applied conditions, we observed that the H82–135 hairpin had been entirely complexed with the 3'CS oligo suggesting efficient recognition of the 5' and 3'CS. When the last three residues of the 5'CS were mutated (H82–135 M1), we detected significant inhibition of the RNA–RNA complex formation (Fig. 3A, lane 4, Fig. 3C,D; Supplemental Table S1). When four out of eight residues of the 5'CS bulge region were mutated (H82–135 M2), the formation of the RNA–RNA interaction was abrogated (Fig. 3A, lane 5, Fig. 3C,D; Supplemental Table S1). Next, we examined interactions of longer RNA fragments in which 5' and 3'CS were located in the optimal structural context (H56–169 hairpin and D1627–1872 domain). We used analogous mutations of the H56–169 hairpin as for H82–135 (Fig. 3C,D; Supplemental Table S1). Efficient formation of RNA–RNA complex was evident for the wild-type sequences and significantly reduced for H56–



FIGURE 3. Temperature-assisted formation of RNA-RNA interaction between (A) H82–135 hairpin and 3'CS oligo, and (B) H56–169 hairpin and D1627–1872 domain (see text for details). (C1, C2) Control reactions. (C) Sequences of the H56–169 and D1627–1872 RNAs. The region encompassing H82–135 is marked with bold letters while 5' and 3'CS are shaded. (D) Secondary structure models of H82–135. The mutated residues are circled. Note that H82–135 (WT and mutants) has the same predicted secondary structure as in H56–169 hairpin.

169 M1 mutant (Fig. 3B, lanes 2 and 3, respectively). Again, mutation of the bulge region (H56–169 M2) was accompanied by the total lack of the RNA–RNA complex (Fig. 3B, lane 4).

To further explore the interaction between 5' and 3'CS, we compared SHAPE reactivities of the D1627-1872 in the 3'UTR alone (Fig. 1B) and in 3'UTR complexed with the H56–169 hairpin. H56–169 and 3'UTR RNA have been renatured together, followed by SHAPE probing with 1M7. A comparison of reactivity profiles revealed profound changes in the 3'CS region after complex formation. When 3'UTR was complexed with H56–169 RNA we observed a remarkable decrease in reactivity for residues A1654-G1663 (Fig. 4). This nucleotide stretch was predicted to base-pair with the bulge region in 5'CS (Fig. 2B). On the other hand, nucleotides adjacent to the 3'CS became highly reactive (A1647 and U1649-A1651). Importantly, these were the only regions in the D1627–1872 domain with such significant reactivity changes in bound and unbound states. In contrast, SHAPE mapping of the 3'UTR RNA in the presence of the H56–169 M2 mutant revealed no significant differences in the modification profile of the 3'CS in comparison to 3'UTR RNA alone (data not shown). Taken together, the results of gel-based assay and SHAPE mapping suggest that an 8-nt bulge motif in 5'CS drives formation of the long-range interaction in p53 mRNA.

#### hand, most of the 3'CS sequence was predicted to be double-stranded, suggesting that exposure of specific 3'CS residues important for intramolecular recognition relies on the thermodynamic stability of this region. We performed temperature melting of the D1627-1872 domain in the context of 3'UTR, monitored by the SHAPE mapping with NMIA, to identify regions exhibiting lower thermodynamic stability (Blaszczyk and Ciesiolka 2011). The overall secondary structure of the D1627-1872 was preserved at higher temperature (data not shown). However, SHAPE analysis identified regions with increased reactivity at 60°C. One of such regions was the central part of 3'CS. At 60°C the 8-nt stretch at the junction structure (G1655-U1662) increased its reactivity, suggesting lower thermodynamic stability of this part of 3'CS (Fig. 5A,B). Interestingly, nucleotides of the 3'CS with higher reactivity at 60°C were predicted to base-pair with the 8-nt bulge of the 5'CS (Fig. 5C). Other regions with higher reactivity at 60°C were located in the branched structure (G1708-A1719 and G1766-C1769) (data not shown).

## Nucleolin displays RNA chaperone activity and facilitates the interaction of 5' and 3'CS

#### G1655–U1662 in the 3'CS shows structural accessibility for pairing with bulge motif in the 5'CS

We pointed out an important role of the single-stranded bulge motif for the 5'CS/3'CS association. On the other

It has been demonstrated that base-pairing of 5' and 3'CS is essential for the regulation of p53 protein translation by nucleolin and RPL26 (Chen and Kastan 2010; Chen et al. 2012). However, the potential involvement of both proteins in the formation of long-range interactions in p53 mRNA has not been investigated. Our data showed that 5' and 3'CS were partially double-stranded (Fig. 2B). This



**FIGURE 4.** SHAPE probing of the in vitro transcribed 3'UTR of p53 mRNA in the complex with H56–169 RNA using 1M7. (A) Comparison of reactivities for 3'UTR in the free form (black) and in the complex with H56–169 (red). (B) The difference plot calculated by subtracting the 3'UTR intensities from those of the 3'UTR/H56–169 complex. Negative values indicate nucleotides with lower reactivity in 3'UTR/H56–169 complex. Gray panel indicates region with significant difference of reactivity between 3'UTR in the free and bound form (see text for details). Asterisks denote nucleotides with statistically significant differential reactivity (10% of highest SHAPE reactivity differences and a Bonferroni *P*-value: 0.025 using the Student's t-test).



**FIGURE 5.** Temperature melting of the 3'CS in the context of 3'UTR of p53 mRNA monitored by SHAPE in vitro. (*A*) A comparison of NMIA reactivities for 3'UTR RNA at 37°C (black) and 60°C (red). At the *bottom* is the difference plot calculated by subtracting the NMIA intensities obtained at 37°C from those at 60°C. Gray panels indicate region with higher reactivity at 60°C (see text for details). Asterisks denote nucleotides with statistically significant differential reactivity (10% of highest SHAPE reactivity differences and a Bonferroni *P*-value: 0.025 using the Student's t-test). (*B*) Secondary structure of the region encompassing 3'CS with SHAPE reactivities calculated at 37°C and 60°C. (*C*) Predicted double-stranded region formed between 5' and 3'CS with nucleotides forming bulge motif in the 5'CS and residues with higher reactivities at 60°C in 3'CS shaded green.

indicates that their association may be facilitated by protein factors exerting nucleic acid chaperone activity. Such proteins facilitate annealing of complementary regions by inducing a conformational change in the RNA upon binding (Rajkowitsch et al. 2007; Woodson et al. 2018). This results in an exposure of residues relevant to intra- or intermolecular interactions. Since DNA strand annealing and RNA duplex destabilization properties of nucleolin have been reported, and it binds independently to both untranslated regions of p53 mRNA, we evaluated whether NCL displays an RNA chaperone activity and facilitates the formation of interaction between 5' and 3'CS (Ghisolfi et al. 1992b; Hanakahi et al. 2000; Chen and Kastan 2010; Chen et al. 2012).

We used an RNA strand transfer (RNA strand displacement) assay, which examines the protein capacity to destabilize the RNA in order to open up and unwind an already formed RNA duplex and to form the most thermodynamically stable structure with other complementary RNA (Supplemental Fig. S3; Rajkowitsch et al. 2007; Semrad 2011). We performed experiments using short RNA oligomers serving as a model system for a detailed evaluation of the strand transfer activity of nucleolin. An initial 5'CS duplex was formed between two RNA oligomers: 5'CS oligomer (corresponding to G82-G102) and its pairing partner (5'CS partner, C123–C135). The calculated thermodynamic stability of the initial 5'CS duplex was -12.1 kcal/mol. Next, the 5'CS duplex was incubated with 3'CS oligomer (C1652-C1669) in the presence of increasing concentrations of nucleolin. Using gel electrophoresis, we measured the propensity of the nucleolin to displace the 5'CS partner

strand from the 5′CS duplex to form a thermodynamically more favorable 5′CS/3′CS duplex (–28.0 kcal/mol).

It turned out that nucleolin effectively stimulated RNA strand exchange. At the highest concentration used, more than 90% of the 5'CS duplex was converted to 5'CS/3'CS duplex (13-fold molar excess of protein over RNA duplex, which amounts to a nucleotide:protein ratio of ~1:0.3) (Fig. 6A,D). Next, we explored the RNA structural determinants influencing strand displacement activity of nucleolin using mutants of the 5'CS duplex (Fig. 6E; Supplemental Table S1). M1s mutant possessed substitutions in the sequence of the 5'CS partner strand in order to increase thermodynamic stability of the 5'CS duplex (from -12.1 in wild type to -17.8 kcal/mol in M1s mutant) by changing wobble G-U and A-C mismatch to canonical G-C and A-U pairing. Importantly, the 5'CS sequence remained unchanged. Despite the increased stability of the mutated 5'CS duplex the level of strand exchange was similar to that observed for wild-type 5'CS duplex at all assayed protein concentrations (Fig. 6A).

The characteristic structural feature of the 5'CS is the 8nt bulge, the single-stranded character of which may play an important role in the nucleolin-assisted pairing of 5' and 3'CS. We introduced additional nucleotides into the 5'CS partner strand of the 5'CS duplex to reduce the size of the bulge motif from 8 in the wild type to 6 and 4 nt in M2s and M3s mutants, respectively (Fig. 6E; Supplemental Table S1). We observed a significant drop in the strand exchange. In the case of the 6-nt bulge (M2s mutant), the level of strand exchange reached 59% while for the M3s mutant with 4-nt bulge, nucleolin was unable



Exploring long-range RNA interactions in p53 mRNA

**FIGURE 6.** RNA chaperone activity of nucleolin measured using strand exchange assay. Graphs represent the averaged data from at least three independent experiments for (A) wild-type and stabilization mutants, (B) mutations of the bulge region, (C) compensatory mutations of the 3'CS oligo (see text and Supplemental Fig. S4 for details). The error bars represent standard deviations. (D) A representative electrophoretic analysis of strand exchange assay. (C) Control reaction. (E) Schematic representation of mutants used in chaperone assay. Calculated thermodynamic stability of each duplex ( $\Delta$ G) as well as observed NCL chaperone activity is indicated in parentheses. Mutated nucleotides are red.

to induce the strand transfer process (Fig. 6A). We were aware that lower RNA strand transfer activity of nucleolin observed in the case of M2s and M3s mutants could be a result of not only reduction of the bulge motif size but also increased thermodynamic stability due to the presence of two or four additional base pairs in the 5'CS duplex (-16.7 and -23.5 kcal/mol, respectively). However, the level of strand exchange of the M1s mutant (having stabilizing nucleotide substitutions, without changing the bulge size) was at a similar level to the wild-type 5'CS duplex despite its higher thermodynamic stability (-17.8 vs. -12.1 kcal/ mol) (Fig. 6A,E). Additionally, although thermodynamic stability of M1s and M2s mutants was comparable (-17.8 vs. -16.7 kcal/mol), the strand exchange was lower only for M2s mutant with bulge size reduced to 6 nt (Fig. 6A, E). This indicates that reduction of the single-stranded character of the bulge motif influenced negatively the RNA strand exchange activity of NCL.

To further explore the role of the bulge region, we used another four mutants. In M1m–M4m mutants, two or three residues in the proximal, central, or distal part of the bulge region were substituted to disrupt 5'CS/3'CS base-pairing but without changing the calculated thermodynamic stability of the 5'CS duplex (Fig. 6E; Supplemental Table S1). In each case, we observed a marked decrease in the strand exchange (Fig. 6B). The higher inhibition was observed for the M1m mutant (35%), while M2m and M3m were characterized by strand transfer at the level of 45% and 51%, respectively. When three residues of the bulge region were mutated (M4m), we could not detect any strand exchange.

To confirm the important role of the bulge motif in the NCL-assisted association of 5' and 3'CS, we used two compensatory mutants of the 3'CS oligo to restore pairing for M1m and M2m mutants (M1m comp and M2m comp) (Supplemental Fig. S4; Supplemental Table S1). In both cases, we observed a significant increase in strand transfer to a level similar to the wild-type sequences (Fig. 6C). Together, these results suggest that the 8-nt bulge in 5'CS plays a pivotal role in the nucleolin-assisted pairing of 5' and 3'CS.

Since RPL26 protein binds to the same region of the p53 mRNA as nucleolin, we tested whether RPL26 can also contribute to the formation of the 5'CS/3'CS interaction. Although we observed binding of the recombinant full-length RPL26 to the H56–169 and D1627–1872 domains using a qualitative EMSA assay, we could not detect strand transfer even at high protein concentration (Supplemental Fig. S5). This suggests that RPL26 does not participate in the formation of interaction between 5' and 3'CS.

## All RNA recognition motifs of nucleolin are essential for RNA chaperone activity

To determine regions of nucleolin required for RNA chaperone activity, we performed a domain mapping experiment. Four truncated variants of NCL have been produced and their activity in the RNA strand transfer assay examined (Fig. 7A). Deletion of the RGG domain to yield RRM 1–4 resulted in a modest decrease of the RNA chaperone activity in comparison to full-length NCL, suggesting an important role of RNA recognition motifs in the strand transfer process (Fig. 7B). This observation was supported by the fact that the RGG domain alone exchanged the RNA strands only at the 10% level (Fig. 7B).

To further delineate the requirement of the RRMs for the RNA chaperone activity of NCL, we obtained RRM 3–4 RGG and RRM 1–2 deletion mutants. RRM 3–4 RGG at the highest protein concentration reached only 30% of the strand exchange, whereas for RRM 1–2, strand transfer was not observed (Fig. 7B). In summary, deletion mapping experiments revealed an important role of the RNA recognition motifs in the chaperone activity of NCL.

#### DISCUSSION

Here, we explore structural determinants of the functionally important, long-range interactions in the p53 mRNA. Using the SHAPE approach and mutational analysis, we identify an 8-nt bulge motif, a part of the 5'CS sequence, as a key structural determinant involved in base-pairing of untranslated regions of p53 mRNA. Moreover, we show that nucleolin displays an RNA chaperone activity and accelerates the pairing of sequences involved in intramolecular long-range contact in the p53 mRNA molecule, and that all RNA recognition motifs of NCL are indispensable for this activity.

Direct nucleotide pairing between distant parts of the RNA molecule play important roles at many levels of gene expression (Nicholson and White 2014; Guil and Esteller 2015; Chkuaseli and White 2018; Dai et al. 2020). Over the past several years, long-range RNA-RNA interactions have been described mainly for RNA viruses, where they regulate diverse processes such as transcription, translation initiation, and viral genome replication (Nicholson and White 2014; Chkuaseli and White 2018). One of the rare examples in eukaryotes is p53 mRNA, where base-pairing between untranslated regions regulates the translation of p53 protein in normal and stress conditions (Chen and Kastan 2010; Chen et al. 2012; Pervouchine 2018). However, structural details of this interaction have not been fully understood. Based on our results, we propose that the formation of this functionally important intramolecular contact depends on the basepairing of the 8-nt bulge in the 5'CS (A91-C98) with the corresponding sequence in the 3'CS (G1656-U1662) (Fig. 2B). This is supported by several observations. Mutation of the bulge region abolishes the 5'CS/3'CS interaction in trans in both short model RNAs and longer RNAs with complex structural motifs (Fig. 3). The bulge motif and the corresponding region in the 3'CS also present a lower modification profile when mapped in the context of FLmRNA, suggesting their direct base-pairing (Fig. 1). Moreover, G1656–U1662 is the only region in 3'CS with lower thermodynamic stability showing its structural accessibility for base-pairing with the bulge motif in the 5'CS (Fig. 5). Other data supporting a critical role of the bulge motif come from chaperone and EMSA assays. Comparison of the levels of RNA strand transfer and dissociation constant for mutants of the 5'CS duplex indicates that the single-stranded character of this motif provides an optimal platform for NCL-dependent initiation of the interaction between 5' and 3'CS (Fig. 6A,E; Supplemental



FIGURE 7. Evaluation of the chaperone activity of NCL deletion mutants. (A) Schematic representation of the NCL variants. (B) Graph representing the averaged strand exchange data from at least three independent experiments. The error bars represent standard deviations.

Fig. S6; Supplemental Table S2). Besides the bulge motif, the last three residues of 5'CS (U100–G102) also influence the interaction of 5' and 3'UTR in p53 mRNA. Mutation of this region decreases the formation of the RNA-RNA complex as well as the level of RNA strand transfer (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. S7). The important structural role of the bulge motif and U100–G102 for 5′CS/3′CS interaction supports and extends prior findings. It has been observed that the inhibition of the p53 protein translation by NCL in unstressed cells depends on the integrity of the 5'CS/3'CS interaction (Chen et al. 2012). Whereas mutation of U100-G102 reduces repression of p53 translation by NCL, the translation repression is blunted when the 5'CS bulge is mutated. On the other hand, mutation of U100-G102 abolishes binding of RPL26 to p53 mRNA and RPL26-dependent stimulation of p53 translation in stress conditions (Chen and Kastan 2010). Taken together, we propose that the direct pairing of the bulge motif and G1656–U1662 in the 3'CS drives the formation of long-range interactions in p53 mRNA, which is further stabilized by the pairing of U100-G102 with the corresponding sequence in the 3'CS. This increases the overall thermodynamic stability of the 5'CS/3'CS duplex, facilitating efficient NCL and RPL26-dependent regulation of p53 translation.

We identify that NCL displays RNA chaperone activity and accelerates the interaction of 5' and 3'CS in vitro. It suggests that NCL requires not only the presence of the long-range interaction in the p53 mRNA for repression of the p53 translation, but in fact promotes the formation of this higher-order structure. We show that to keep high RNA strand transfer activity, all RRMs must be present. Moreover, it seems that this activity depends on the ability to strongly bind RNA because only full-length NCL, RRM 1-4, and to some extent RRM 3-4 RGG, were able to stimulate the formation of the 5'CS/3'CS duplex (Fig. 7; Supplemental Fig. S6; Supplemental Table S2). This observation further extends the previous report showing that all RRMs are required for NCL binding to p53 mRNA, p53 translation repression, NCL dimerization, and interaction with RPL26 (Chen et al. 2012). Considering the fact that shorter variants of NCL (RRM 1–2, RRM 3–4 RGG and RGG) possess low RNA strand transfer activity, we propose that in full-length NCL, individual RNA binding domains (RRMs and RGG) contribute to the overall RNA strand transfer activity, by mutual positioning of each RRM and RGG in respect to an RNA molecule. Such concerted action of RNA binding motifs has been observed for AUF1 protein, where individual RRM and RGG domains contribute to destabilization and annealing of RNAs involved in long-range RNA-RNA interactions leading to cyclization of the genomic RNA of the dengue virus (Alvarez et al. 2005; Meyer et al. 2019).

Despite the important role of nucleolin as an RNA binding protein in translation of viral and cellular mRNAs and processing of rRNA (processes that often require disruption of existing and formation of new RNA-RNA contacts), knowledge regarding RNA remodeling activity of NCL is limited. Based on circular dichroism experiments of the RGG domain and NMR structure of RRM 1-2 from hamster NCL, bound to the nucleolin recognition element (NRE), it has been suggested that NCL acts as an RNA chaperone and prevents misfolding of nascent pre-rRNA (Ghisolfi et al. 1992a; Allain et al. 2000). It has also been shown that murine NCL accelerates the annealing of complementary DNA oligonucleotides and that this activity is mainly localized in the RRM 3 and 4 and RGG domains (Sapp et al. 1986; Hanakahi et al. 2000). Our work is the first to show nucleolin's ability to act as an RNA chaperone. We demonstrate that NCL promotes thermodynamically more favorable RNA-RNA interactions via strand exchange mechanism, which requires both RNA duplex destabilization and annealing of RNA strands. Since NCL is involved in the expression of a subset of viral and cellular mRNAs, we hypothesize that the promotion of local and/or long-range RNA-RNA interactions may reflect one of the important features of nucleolin in the regulation of the translation process.

Based on our studies and previous reports, we propose a model of the formation of the long-range interaction in p53 mRNA and its role in the regulation of p53 translation. In unstressed cells, NCL accelerates the base-pairing of the 5' and 3'UTR in the p53 mRNA. The interaction starts at the bulge region and further propagates into the distal part of 5'CS, stabilizing the higher-order RNA-RNA contact. As long as NCL occupies the 5'CS/3'CS double-stranded region, translation of p53 protein is repressed. Under stress conditions (DNA damage), RPL26 (through protein-protein interactions) outcompetes NCL from the p53 mRNA by disruption of NCL-NCL homodimers and stimulates translation of the p53 protein. The functional consequences of the long-range interaction are suggested by structural probing experiments. SHAPE mapping revealed that the interaction of 5' and 3'CS is accompanied by change of the reactivity profile of the upper part of the H56–169 hairpin (Fig. 1A,C). This indicates that upon "circularization" of the p53 mRNA molecule, the H56-169 domain undergoes structural rearrangements, which may additionally influence p53 protein translation in several ways. First, the presence of the thermodynamically stable 5'CS/3'CS double-stranded region may impede migration of the translation initiation complex, resulting in a lower translation rate from the p53 AUG codon. Although we do not observe significant differences in the reactivity of the p53 AUG codon between P1-554 and FLmRNA, the formation of the long-range interaction in the vicinity may also cause subtle changes of the spatial structure of this critical region with potential impact on the translational efficiency of the p53 protein. Second, it may provide an optimal structural environment for RPL26 binding and stress-dependent stimulation of p53 translation. Third, it may influence the association of other important p53 regulators, such as Ku or polypyrimidine tract-binding protein (PTB), which have been observed to bind the apical part of the H56–169 hairpin and repress or stimulate translation of the p53 protein (Khan et al. 2013; Lamaa et al. 2016). It highlights the critical role of not only p53 mRNA "circularization" per se but also structural rearrangements of the adjacent regions of the H56–169 hairpin in the regulation of p53 translation.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **DNA and RNA constructs**

DNA representing wild-type full length p53 mRNA (NCBI Reference Sequence: NM\_000546.6) was synthesized and cloned into pUC57 by GenScript. All DNA constructs were obtained by conventional PCR amplification using Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher) and FLmRNA-pUC57 as a template. Primers for PCR reaction and RNA oligonucleotides are listed in Supplemental Table S3. RNA substrates were synthesized using MEGAscript or MEGAshortscript T7 transcription kits (Thermo Fisher) and purified with Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research). Fluorescently labeled primers and RNA oligomers were obtained from Merck.

#### **RNA structure probing**

#### Selective acylation analyzed by primer extension

A total of 20 pmol (100  $\mu$ L) of in vitro transcribed RNA in renaturation buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) was heated at 95°C for 5 min and placed on ice for 10 min. A total of 50  $\mu$ L of 3× folding buffer was added (final concentration 40 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>), and samples were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. For temperature melting experiments monitored by SHAPE, samples were preincubated at 60°C before addition of the modification agent. RNA was divided into two reactions and mixed with NMIA (N-methylisatoic anhydride) or 1M7 (1-methyl-7-nitroisatoic anhydride) in dimethyl sulfoxide or DMSO alone. The final concentration of NMIA and 1M7 was 2 mM. Reactions were incubated for 45 min (NMIA) or 5 min (1M7) at 37°C or 60°C following purification using Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research).

In the case when SHAPE was used for probing of RNA–RNA complexes, RNAs (10 pmol, 1:1 ratio) were mixed with 3× folding buffer. Samples were renatured for 5 min at 75°C, slowly cooled (0.1°C/sec) to 4°C, and incubated 20 min at room temperature. RNA was divided into two reactions and mixed with 1M7 in dimethyl sulfoxide or DMSO alone.

#### Reverse transcription and data processing

A total of 2 pmol of RNA, 5 pmol of Cyanine 5 ("+" reagent) or Cyanine 5.5 ("-" control reaction) labeled primer, and 0.1 mM EDTA pH 8.0 was incubated at 95°C for 3 min, 37°C for 10 min and 55°C for 2 min. RNA was reverse transcribed using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher) for 45 min at 50°C. Sequencing reactions were carried out using WellRed D2 and Li-Cor IRD-800 fluorescently labeled primers and a Thermo Sequenase Cycle Sequencing Kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol (Affymetrix). Reverse transcription and sequencing reactions were combined and purified using ZR DNA Sequencing Clean-up Kit (Zymo Research). cDNA samples were analyzed on a GenomeLab GeXP Analysis System (Beckman Coulter). Raw data from at least three independent experiments were processed using SHAPEfinder software and normalized as described previously (Vasa et al. 2008; Purzycka et al. 2013; Pachulska-Wieczorek et al. 2016). Normalized SHAPE reactivities were introduced into the SuperFold pipeline as pseudoenergy constraints (Smola et al. 2015). For all calculations, slope and intercept folding parameters were set to 1.8 and -0.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. All Pvalues were corrected by the Bonferroni method.

#### Native gel electrophoresis of RNA-RNA complexes

For monitoring of RNA–RNA complexes' formation, 5 pmol of H82-135 (or its mutants) and 50 pmol of 3'CS oligo or 5 pmol of D1627–1872 and 50 pmol of H56–169 (or its mutants) RNA were mixed together, renatured for 5 min at 75°C, and slowly cooled (0.1°C/sec) to 4°C. Next, samples were incubated in 1× folding buffer for 20 min at room temperature, placed on ice, and glycerol was added to the final concentration of 1%. Samples were analyzed by native gel electrophoresis using 12% gel (19:1 acrylamide/bisacrylamide ratio) in 0.5× TB at 4°C (DNAPointer, BioVectis). RNA was visualized using SYBR Gold staining and scanned with FLA5100 image analyzer (FujiFilm).

#### Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

Cy3 labeled RNA was renatured in 1× folding buffer for 5 min at 95°C and slowly cooled (0.1°C/sec) to 4°C. RNA was mixed with increasing concentration of protein (0–5000 nM) and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. The final concentration of RNA was 0.5 nM. Samples were loaded on 8%–10% polyacrylamide gel (19:1 acrylamide/bisacrylamide ratio), and electrophoresis was carried out in 0.5× TB at 4°C (DNAPointer, BioVectis) followed by imaging with an Amersham Typhoon laser-scanner (Cytiva). Averaged data from at least three independent experiments were fitted to the Hill equation using Origin (OriginLab) software.

For qualitative EMSA, 25 pmol of RNA was renatured in 1× folding buffer for 5 min at 95°C and slowly cooled (0.1°C/sec) to 4°C. RNA was mixed with increasing concentration of protein (10–100 pmol) and incubated for 25 min at room temperature. The final concentration of RNA was 1.25  $\mu$ M. Samples were loaded on 8%–10% polyacrylamide gel (19:1 acrylamide/bisacrylamide ratio) and electrophoresis was carried out in 0.5× TB at 4°C (DNAPointer, BioVectis). RNA was visualized with Toluidine Blue or SYBR Gold staining.

#### RNA strand exchange assay

5′CS (fluorescently labeled with Cyanine 3), 3′CS and 5′CS partner RNA oligonucleotides were separately denatured for 5 min at 95°C and chilled on ice. Subsequently, 2 pmol of 5′CS and 5 pmol of 5′CS partner were mixed in 1× folding buffer and incubated for 10 min at 65°C and 5 min on ice to form the initial 5′CS duplex. Next, 5 pmol of 3′CS oligomer and increasing concentrations of protein were added and samples were incubated for 10 min at 37°C. Reaction was terminated by addition of SDS (0.25% final concentration). Samples were analyzed on native 12% gel (19:1 acrylamide/bisacrylamide ratio) in 0.5× TB at 4°C (DNAPointer, BioVectis). Gels were scanned with FLA5100 image analyzer (FujiFilm) and data from at least three independent experiments were quantified using MultiGauge (FujiFilm) and OriginPro software (Origin Lab).

#### Protein overexpression and purification

The pET21a vector encoding human nucleolin (residues 284–707) was a generous gift from professor France Carrier (Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Maryland School of Medicine). All vectors encoding truncated variants of NCL and full-length RPL26 were synthesized and cloned into the pET-15b vector by GenScript. Proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli strain BL21(DE3)pLysS (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A total of 1-4 liters of cells was grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 50  $\mu$ g/mL ampicillin at 28°C to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.7. Following the addition of isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (0.5 mM), the culture was incubated for 4-6 h at 30°C-37°C. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4000g for 10 min at 4°C and resuspended in 200 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole and protease inhibitor (Roche). The cell suspension was sonicated  $40 \times 2$  sec on ice with a 30 sec pause after each pulse and centrifuged 30,000g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was mixed with Ni Sepharose High Performance (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 200 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 and 500 mM NaCl. Sepharose beads were washed with the same buffer, supplemented with 40 mM imidazole. The protein was eluted with 200 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl and 250-300 mM imidazole and dialyzed into 200 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl. Protein samples were concentrated with centrifugal filtration (Millipore), aliquoted and stored at -80°C. The purity of recombinant proteins was assessed by sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).

RPL26 protein was expressed and purified similarly to NCL. The only difference was a higher pH (8.0) of sodium phosphate buffer and addition of 10% glycerol.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to Professor France Carrier who provided the pET21a vector encoding human nucleolin (residues 284–707). We thank Joanna Sikorska for help with EMSA experiments and Professor Katarzyna Pachulska-Wieczorek for critical reading of the manuscript and insightful discussion. This study was support-

ed by National Science Centre (Poland) (UMO-2016/23/D/NZ1/ 02565 to L.B.).

Received July 24, 2022; accepted January 2, 2023.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdelmohsen K, Gorospe M. 2012. RNA-binding protein nucleolin in disease. *RNA Biol* **9:** 799–808. doi:10.4161/rna.19718
- Abdelmohsen K, Tominaga K, Lee EK, Srikantan S, Kang MJ, Kim MM, Selimyan R, Martindale JL, Yang X, Carrier F, et al. 2011. Enhanced translation by nucleolin via G-rich elements in coding and noncoding regions of target mRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**: 8513– 8530. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr488
- Allain FH, Bouvet P, Dieckmann T, Feigon J. 2000. Molecular basis of sequence-specific recognition of pre-ribosomal RNA by nucleolin. EMBO J 19: 6870–6881. doi:10.1093/emboj/19.24.6870
- Alvarez DE, Lodeiro MF, Luduena SJ, Pietrasanta LI, Gamarnik AV. 2005. Long-range RNA-RNA interactions circularize the dengue virus genome. J Virol 79: 6631–6643. doi:10.1128/JVI.79.11.6631-6643.2005
- Anbarasan T, Bourdon JC. 2019. The emerging landscape of p53 isoforms in physiology, cancer and degenerative diseases. *Int J Mol Sci* **20:** 6257. doi:10.3390/ijms20246257
- Andrzejewska A, Zawadzka M, Gumna J, Garfinkel DJ, Pachulska-Wieczorek K. 2021. In vivo structure of the Ty1 retrotransposon RNA genome. Nucleic Acids Res 49: 2878–2893. doi:10.1093/ nar/gkab090
- Aubrey BJ, Kelly GL, Janic A, Herold MJ, Strasser A. 2018. How does p53 induce apoptosis and how does this relate to p53-mediated tumour suppression? *Cell Death Differ* **25**: 104–113. doi:10 .1038/cdd.2017.169
- Bieging KT, Mello SS, Attardi LD. 2014. Unravelling mechanisms of p53-mediated tumour suppression. *Nat Rev Cancer* **14:** 359–370. doi:10.1038/nrc3711
- Blaszczyk L, Ciesiolka J. 2011. Secondary structure and the role in translation initiation of the 5'-terminal region of p53 mRNA. *Biochemistry* **50**: 7080–7092. doi:10.1021/bi200659b
- Bunimov N, Smith JE, Gosselin D, Laneuville O. 2007. Translational regulation of PGHS-1 mRNA: 5' untranslated region and first two exons conferring negative regulation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1769:** 92–105. doi:10.1016/j.bbaexp.2007.01.004
- Busan S, Weidmann CA, Sengupta A, Weeks KM. 2019. Guidelines for SHAPE reagent choice and detection strategy for RNA structure probing studies. *Biochemistry* 58: 2655–2664. doi:10.1021/acs .biochem.8b01218
- Chen J, Kastan MB. 2010. 5'-3'-UTR interactions regulate p53 mRNA translation and provide a target for modulating p53 induction after DNA damage. *Genes Dev* **24:** 2146–2156. doi:10.1101/gad .1968910
- Chen J, Guo K, Kastan MB. 2012. Interactions of nucleolin and ribosomal protein L26 (RPL26) in translational control of human p53 mRNA. *J Biol Chem* **287:** 16467–16476. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112 .349274
- Chkuaseli T, White KA. 2018. Intragenomic long-distance RNA-RNA interactions in plus-strand RNA plant viruses. *Front Microbiol* **9**: 529. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00529
- Cong R, Das S, Bouvet P. 2011. The multiple properties and functions of nucleolin. *Protein Rev* **15:** 185–212.
- Dai X, Zhang S, Zaleta-Rivera K. 2020. RNA: interactions drive functionalities. Mol Biol Rep 47: 1413–1434. doi:10.1007/s11033-019-05230-7

#### Kiliszek et al.

- Ghisolfi L, Joseph G, Amalric F, Erard M. 1992a. The glycine-rich domain of nucleolin has an unusual supersecondary structure responsible for its RNA-helix-destabilizing properties. J Biol Chem 267: 2955–2959. doi:10.1016/S0021-9258(19)50679-2
- Ghisolfi L, Kharrat A, Joseph G, Amalric F, Erard M. 1992b. Concerted activities of the RNA recognition and the glycine-rich C-terminal domains of nucleolin are required for efficient complex formation with pre-ribosomal RNA. *Eur J Biochem* **209**: 541–548. doi:10 .1111/j.1432-1033.1992.tb17318.x
- Ginisty H, Sicard H, Roger B, Bouvet P. 1999. Structure and functions of nucleolin. J Cell Sci **112:** 761–772. doi:10.1242/jcs.112.6.761
- Gorska A, Blaszczyk L, Dutkiewicz M, Ciesiolka J. 2013. Length variants of the 5' untranslated region of p53 mRNA and their impact on the efficiency of translation initiation of p53 and its N-truncated isoform ΔNp53. *RNA Biol* **10**: 1726–1740. doi:10.4161/rna.26562
- Grover R, Candeias MM, Fahraeus R, Das S. 2009. p53 and little brother p53/47: linking IRES activities with protein functions. *Oncogene* **28:** 2766–2772. doi:10.1038/onc.2009.138
- Guil S, Esteller M. 2015. RNA-RNA interactions in gene regulation: the coding and noncoding players. *Trends Biochem Sci* **40:** 248–256. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2015.03.001
- Han S, Wang X, Guan J, Wu J, Zhang Y, Li P, Liu Z, Abdullah SW, Zhang Z, Jin Y, et al. 2021. Nucleolin promotes IRES-driven translation of foot-and-mouth disease virus by supporting the assembly of translation initiation complexes. *J Virol* **95:** e0023821. doi:10 .1128/JVI.00238-21
- Hanakahi LA, Bu Z, Maizels N. 2000. The C-terminal domain of nucleolin accelerates nucleic acid annealing. *Biochemistry* **39:** 15493– 15499. doi:10.1021/bi001683y
- Haronikova L, Olivares-Illana V, Wang L, Karakostis K, Chen S, Fahraeus R. 2019. The p53 mRNA: an integral part of the cellular stress response. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: 3257–3271. doi:10.1093/ nar/gkz124
- Hung CY, Yang WB, Wang SA, Hsu TI, Chang WC, Hung JJ. 2014. Nucleolin enhances internal ribosomal entry site (IRES)-mediated translation of Sp1 in tumorigenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1843**: 2843–2854. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.08.009
- Izumi RE, Valdez B, Banerjee R, Srivastava M, Dasgupta A. 2001. Nucleolin stimulates viral internal ribosome entry site-mediated translation. *Virus Res* **76**: 17–29. doi:10.1016/S0168-1702(01) 00240-4
- Jia W, Yao Z, Zhao J, Guan Q, Gao L. 2017. New perspectives of physiological and pathological functions of nucleolin (NCL). *Life Sci* **186:** 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2017.07.025
- Kastenhuber ER, Lowe SW. 2017. Putting p53 in context. *Cell* **170**: 1062–1078. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.028
- Khan D, Sharathchandra A, Ponnuswamy A, Grover R, Das S. 2013. Effect of a natural mutation in the 5' untranslated region on the translational control of p53 mRNA. *Oncogene* **32:** 4148–4159. doi:10.1038/onc.2012.422
- Lamaa A, Le Bras M, Skuli N, Britton S, Frit P, Calsou P, Prats H, Cammas A, Millevoi S. 2016. A novel cytoprotective function for the DNA repair protein Ku in regulating p53 mRNA translation and function. *EMBO Rep* **17:** 508–518. doi:10.15252/embr .201541181
- Levine AJ. 2020. p53: 800 million years of evolution and 40 years of discovery. *Nat Rev Cancer* **20:** 471–480. doi:10.1038/s41568-020-0262-1
- Liu Y, Tavana O, Gu W. 2019. p53 modifications: exquisite decorations of the powerful guardian. *J Mol Cell Biol* **11:** 564–577. doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjz060
- Mahmoudi S, Henriksson S, Corcoran M, Mendez-Vidal C, Wiman KG, Famebo M. 2009. Wrap53 a natural p53 antisense transcript required for p53 induction upon DNA damage. *Mol Cell* **33:** 462– 471. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2009.01.028

- Mantovani F, Collavin L, Del Sal G. 2019. Mutant p53 as a guardian of the cancer cell. *Cell Death Differ* **26**: 199–212. doi:10.1038/ s41418-018-0246-9
- Meyer A, Golbik RP, Sanger L, Schmidt T, Behrens SE, Friedrich S. 2019. The RGG/RG motif of AUF1 isoform p45 is a key modulator of the protein's RNA chaperone and RNA annealing activities. *RNA Biol* **16**: 960–971. doi:10.1080/15476286.2019.1602438
- Miniard AC, Middleton LM, Budiman ME, Gerber CA, Driscoll DM. 2010. Nucleolin binds to a subset of selenoprotein mRNAs and regulates their expression. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**: 4807–4820. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq247
- Mongelard F, Bouvet P. 2007. Nucleolin: a multiFACeTed protein. Trends Cell Biol **17:** 80–86. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2006.11.010
- Mortimer SA, Weeks KM. 2007. A fast-acting reagent for accurate analysis of RNA secondary and tertiary structure by SHAPE chemistry. J Am Chem Soc **129**: 4144–4145. doi:10.1021/ja0704028
- Nicholson BL, White KA. 2014. Functional long-range RNA-RNA interactions in positive-strand RNA viruses. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **12:** 493– 504. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3288
- Pachulska-Wieczorek K, Blaszczyk L, Biesiada M, Adamiak RW, Purzycka KJ. 2016. The matrix domain contributes to the nucleic acid chaperone activity of HIV-2 Gag. *Retrovirology* **13**: 18. doi:10.1186/s12977-016-0245-1
- Pervouchine DD. 2018. Towards long-range RNA structure prediction in eukaryotic genes. *Genes (Basel)* **9:** 302. doi:10.3390/ genes9060302
- Purzycka KJ, Legiewicz M, Matsuda E, Eizentstat LD, Lusvarghi S, Saha A, Le Grice SF, Garfinkel DJ. 2013. Exploring Ty1 retrotransposon RNA structure within virus-like particles. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41: 463–473. doi:10.1093/nar/gks983
- Rajkowitsch L, Chen D, Stampfl S, Semrad K, Waldsich C, Mayer O, Jantsch MF, Konrat R, Blasi U, Schroeder R. 2007. RNA chaperones, RNA annealers and RNA helicases. *RNA Biol* 4: 118–130. doi:10.4161/ma.4.3.5445
- Sapp M, Knippers R, Richter A. 1986. DNA binding properties of a 110 kDa nucleolar protein. *Nucleic Acids Res* **14:** 6803–6820. doi:10 .1093/nar/14.17.6803
- Scott DD, Oeffinger M. 2016. Nucleolin and nucleophosmin: nucleolar proteins with multiple functions in DNA repair. *Biochem Cell Biol* 94: 419–432. doi:10.1139/bcb-2016-0068
- Semrad K. 2011. Proteins with RNA chaperone activity: a world of diverse proteins with a common task-impediment of RNA misfolding. *Biochem Res Int* 2011: 532908. doi:10.1155/2011/532908
- Sharathchandra A, Katoch A, Das S. 2014. IRES mediated translational regulation of p53 isoforms. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **5:** 131–139. doi:10.1002/wrna.1202
- Smola MJ, Rice GM, Busan S, Siegfried NA, Weeks KM. 2015. Selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by primer extension and mutational profiling (SHAPE-MaP) for direct, versatile and accurate RNA structure analysis. *Nat Protoc* **10:** 1643–1669. doi:10.1038/ nprot.2015.103
- Swiatkowska A, Dutkiewicz M, Zydowicz-Machtel P, Szpotkowska J, Janecki DM, Ciesiolka J. 2019. Translational control in p53 expression: the role of 5'-terminal region of p53 mRNA. Int J Mol Sci 20: 5382. doi:10.3390/ijms20215382
- Takagi M, Absalon MJ, McLure KG, Kastan MB. 2005. Regulation of p53 translation and induction after DNA damage by ribosomal protein L26 and nucleolin. *Cell* **123:** 49–63. doi:10.1016/j.cell .2005.07.034
- Terzian T, Lozano G. 2010. Building p53. *Genes Dev* **24:** 2229–2232. doi:10.1101/gad.1988510
- Tijerina P, Mohr S, Russell R. 2007. DMS footprinting of structured RNAs and RNA-protein complexes. *Nat Protoc* **2**: 2608–2623. doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.380

- Vadivel Gnanasundram S, Bonczek O, Wang L, Chen S, Fahraeus R. 2021. p53 mRNA metabolism links with the DNA damage response. *Genes (Basel)* **12:** 1446. doi:10.3390/ genes12091446
- Vasa SM, Guex N, Wilkinson KA, Weeks KM, Giddings MC. 2008. ShapeFinder: a software system for high-throughput quantitative analysis of nucleic acid reactivity information resolved by capillary electrophoresis. RNA 14: 1979–1990. doi:10.1261/rna .1166808
- Vousden KH, Lane DP. 2007. p53 in health and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **8:** 275–283. doi:10.1038/nrm2147
- Wilkinson KA, Merino EJ, Weeks KM. 2006. Selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by primer extension (SHAPE): quantitative RNA structure analysis at single nucleotide resolution. *Nat Protoc* **1**: 1610–1616. doi:10.1038/nprot.2006.249
- Woodson SA, Panja S, Santiago-Frangos A. 2018. Proteins that chaperone RNA regulation. *Microbiol Spectr* **6:** 10.1128/microbiolspec.RWR-0026-2018.
- Zydowicz-Machtel P, Swiatkowska A, Popenda L, Gorska A, Ciesiolka J. 2018. Variants of the 5'-terminal region of p53 mRNA influence the ribosomal scanning and translation efficiency. *Sci Rep* **8:** 1533. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-20010-2



# Exploring structural determinants and the role of nucleolin in formation of the long-range interactions between untranslated regions of p53 mRNA

Agnieszka Kiliszek, Wojciech Rypniewski and Leszek Blaszczyk

*RNA* 2023 29: 630-643 originally published online January 18, 2023 Access the most recent version at doi:10.1261/rna.079378.122

Supplemental Material	http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2023/01/18/rna.079378.122.DC1
References	This article cites 59 articles, 10 of which can be accessed free at: http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/29/5/630.full.html#ref-list-1
Creative Commons License	This article is distributed exclusively by the RNA Society for the first 12 months after the full-issue publication date (see <a href="http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml">http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml</a> ). After 12 months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</a> .
Email Alerting Service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or <b>click here.</b>



Providing Precise Solutions for your research.



To subscribe to RNA go to: http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions