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## Peptide design

Peptides were designed using Rosetta software (v 3.8) using Rosetta Scripts interface. ${ }^{[S 1]}$ The initial conformation of the backbone was created by joining two $\alpha$-helical fragments and one helical fragment build using $\alpha \alpha \beta \alpha \alpha \alpha \beta$ sequence pattern with application of (1S,2S)-2aminocyclopentanecarboxylic acid. The dihedral angles of the backbone of $\beta$-amino acidcontaining ware copied from published crystal structure containing fragment of the same pattern (PDB id 3F4Z). ${ }^{[\mathrm{S} 2]}$ The resfile was created assuming that side chains forming the hydrophobic core are chosen from hydrophobic (one of $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{M}$ ), while that designed to be on the surface are polar. The FastDesign protocol ${ }^{[S 3]}$ was applied using beta_nov15 scoring function and 5000 iterations.

## Peptide synthesis and purification

All commercially available reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Merck, Iris Biotech or Bachem and used without further purification. Peptides were obtained with an automated solid-phase peptide synthesizer (Biotage ${ }^{\circledR}$ Initiator+Alastra ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ) on H-Rink amide ChemMatrix ${ }^{\circledR}$ resin (loading: $0.59 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ ). Fmoc deprotection was done using $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF for $3+10 \mathrm{~min}$ at room temperature. A double-coupling procedure for $\alpha$-amino acids ( 15 min at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was performed with 5 eq of Fmoc amino acid derivative, 0.5 M solution of DIC and 0.5 M solution of OXYMA (1:1) in DMF. While $\beta$-amino acids were coupled for 30 min at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (followed by 30 min at room temperature) using 3 eq of Fmoc-trans-ACPC, 0.5 M solution of DIC and 0.5 M solution of OXYMA (1:1) in DMF. Cleavage of the peptides from the resin was accomplished with the mixture of TFA/TIS/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (95:2.5:2.5) during 3 h of shaking at room temperature. The crude peptide was precipitated with ice-cold diethyl ether and centrifuged (14500 rpm, $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Obtained crude peptides were purified using the HPLC (Knauer Prep) with
 analyzed using an analytical column $150 \mathrm{~mm} x 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, Kinetex 100A (C18, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Solvents and gradients are given below in results section (Table S1). Peptides were analyzed by WATERS LCT Premier XE mass spectrometry system consisting of high resolution mass spectrometer with a time of flight (TOF) analyzer. All peptide showed purity $>98 \%$ as estimated by analytical HPLC (Table S1).

## Circular dichroism

CD spectra were recorded on JASCO J- 815 at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ between 300 and 180 nm in 50 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 7.0 ) using following parameters: 0.2 nm resolution, 1.0 nm band width, 20 mdeg sensitivity, 0.25 s response, $50 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$ scanning speed, $10 \mathrm{scans}, 0.02 \mathrm{~cm}$ cuvette path length. The CD spectra of the solvent alone were recorded and subtracted from the raw data. The CD intensity is given as mean residue molar ellipticity $\left(\theta\left[\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1}\right]\right)$.

To examine the thermal unfolding of the peptide, stock solutions were prepared containing 0.2 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ peptide in 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 , with concentrations of guanidine hydrochloride ranging from 0 M to 6.0 M with 0.5 M interval. The temperature was increased from 4 to $96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in increments of $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ellipticity measurements were recorded at 222 nm . The determination of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ value was based on nonlinear fitting of the following function ${ }^{[54]}$ to data obtained at guanidine hydrochloride concentration equals to 0.0 M :

$$
\theta_{\text {obs }}=\frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{-\Delta H_{m} \cdot\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{T}}{T_{\mathrm{m}}}\right)}{\mathrm{RT}}}} \cdot\left(\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{f}}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{u}}-m_{u} \cdot T+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}} \cdot \mathrm{~T}\right)+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{u}}+m_{u} \cdot T
$$

Where: $\theta_{\text {obs }}$ - observed values of ellipticity; T - temperature, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}$ - entalphy; R - gas constant; $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ - melting point temperature, $b_{u}$ and $m_{u}-$ constants describing unfolded state, $b_{u}$ and $m_{u}-$ constants describing folded state.

Unfolding of peptides were monitored using CD spectra at 222 nm . Raw data were fitted to previously reported equations ${ }^{[55]}$ using nonlinear regression in Matlab R2016a (The MathWorks, Inc.). The observed elipticity ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {obs }}$ ) is dependent on equilibrium constant K and ellipticities of folded $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ and unfolded states $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$ :

$$
Q_{o b s}=\frac{1}{1+K}\left(Q_{u} * K+Q_{f}\right)
$$

Where $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{u}}$ are dependent on both temperature and concentration of denaturant:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{u}=a+b T+c[\text { GuHCl }] \\
& Q_{f}=d+e T+f[G u H C l]
\end{aligned}
$$

Equilibrium constant $(\mathrm{K})$ is related to peptide folding free energy $(\Delta \mathrm{G})$ :

$$
K=e^{\frac{-\Delta G^{0}}{R T}}
$$

While $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is given by formula:

$$
\Delta G=\Delta H^{0}-T \Delta S^{0}+\Delta C_{p}\left(T-T_{0}+T \ln \left(\frac{T_{0}}{T}\right)\right)-m[G u H C l]
$$

## Crystallization, data collection, processing, structure determination and refinement

Prior to crystallization, purified peptide powder was dissolved in distilled water to the concentration of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Crystallization conditions were screened using the Gryphon crystallization robot (Art Robbins

Instruments). This was followed by optimization of the conditions by the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method. Crystallization drops were mixed with the precipitant solution in different volume ratios: from 1:1 up to 1:4. All crystals were grown at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The successful crystallization conditions were: 100 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM MgCl 2 , 25\% (w/v) PEG 3350 (HAMPTON crystallization screen INDEX (MD1-37)) for peptide 1, and 100 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.5,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,20 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ PEG 8000 (MDL crystallization screen JCSG (HR2-134)) for peptide 1-Se.

X-ray diffraction data were collected using synchrotron radiation at beamline P13, operated by EMBL Hamburg at the PETRA III storage ring (DESY, Hamburg, Germany). ${ }^{[56]}$ The crystals were flashcooled at 100 K in a cold nitrogen-gas stream without additional cryoprotection.

The diffraction data were indexed, integrated and scaled using XDS. ${ }^{[57]}$ The initial structure of the peptide was solved by the SAD method using selenomethionine derivative and the HKL2MAP interface ${ }^{[88]}$ for phasing with the ShelxCDE programs. ${ }^{[59]}$ Initial model of the peptide was further built in ARP/wARP. ${ }^{[510]}$ The structures of the peptide $\mathbf{1}$ and peptide $\mathbf{1}$-Se were solved by molecular replacement using Phaser. ${ }^{[511]}$ Refinement of both structures was done in Refmac ${ }^{[S 12]}$ from the CCP4 suite. ${ }^{[\mathrm{S} 13]}$ Manual model rebuilding according to electron-density maps was performed in Coot. ${ }^{[514]}$ Structural figures were prepared in PyMOL. ${ }^{[1515]}$ The data collection, processing and refinement statistics are summarized in Table S3.

## sr-SAXS measurements

SAXS measurements were performed in batch mode at $1,2.5$ and $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ concentrations at ESRF, Grenoble, France beamline BM29. Scattering images were recorded for 1 s using a 2D Pilatus 1M at a photon energy of $12.5 \mathrm{keV}(\lambda=0.99 \AA)$ at 2.8 sample-to-detector distance. Four frames were merged, integrated and background subtracted on the matching buffer. For a better signal-to-noise ratio signals from 1 and $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ were merged with each other using Primus software. ${ }^{[516]}$ Final results were analyzed using ATSAS package software. ${ }^{[17]}$ For the molecular envelope determination a 10 round refinement in DAMMIF was applied using P2 symmetry. ${ }^{[518]}$

Table S1. Rosetta-designed sequences and their scores beta-Nov15

| No | Sequence | Score |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | LSEEEIQRIFGLSSEQIKSLPEEXYKKXVEXTGYL | -131.718 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | LSEEEIQKVFGMSKEELSSLPEEXLKKXIEXKGYL | -131.598 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | YSEEEIQRLLGISKEQFKSLPEEXVKKXVEXSGVL | -130.219 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | VSEEEIQRIFGMSSEQLKSLPEEXFKKXVKXKGYV | -130.264 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | LSEEQIQKLLGMSKEQFKSLPEEXVRKXVEXSGYL | -130.203 |

Table S2. MS and HPLC data for studied peptides

| No | MS |  | Preparative HPLC |  | Analytical HPLC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Calc. | Exp. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{R}} \\ & {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{aligned}$ | gradient | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} \\ & {[\mathrm{~min}]} \end{aligned}$ | gradient |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 816.2403 \\ 1020.0484 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 816.2432 \\ & 1020.0397 \end{aligned}$ | 17.0 | $0-5 \min 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, 10-18min 50\%A, $28 \mathrm{~min} 35 \% \mathrm{~A}, 30-$ $33 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $35 \min 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, flow: $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ | 8.93 | $\begin{gathered} 0-2 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 11-12 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 15 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ \text { Flow: } 1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 806.2495 \\ & 1007.5599 \\ & 1343.0773 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 806.2462 \\ & 1007.5557 \\ & 1343.0764 \end{aligned}$ | 25.6 | $0-5 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, 10min 60\%A, 18min 55\%A, $28 \mathrm{~min} 40 \% \mathrm{~A}, 30-$ $33 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}$, 35min 90\%A, flow: $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ | 9.01 | $\begin{gathered} 0-2 \min 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 11-12 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 15 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ \text { Flow: } 1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 671.8743 \\ 806.0476 \\ 1007.3076 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 671.8719 \\ 806.0536 \\ 1007.3056 \end{gathered}$ | 21.1 | $0-5 \min 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, 10min 60\%A, $18 \mathrm{~min} 55 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $28 \mathrm{~min} 40 \% \mathrm{~A}, 30-$ $33 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $35 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, flow: $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ | 8.36 | $\begin{gathered} 0-2 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 11-12 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 15 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ \text { Flow: } 1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 812.6550 \\ 1015.5668 \\ 1353.7531 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 812.7979 \\ 1015.5552 \\ 1353.7863 \end{gathered}$ | 18.2 | $0-5 \min 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $10 \mathrm{~min} 60 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $18 \mathrm{~min} 55 \% \mathrm{~A}$, 28 min $40 \% \mathrm{~A}, 30-$ $33 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $35 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, Flow: $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ | 8.62 | $\begin{gathered} 0-2 \min 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 11-12 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 15 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ \text { Flow: } 1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 808.2528 \\ 1010.5655 \\ 1347.0847 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 808.2524 \\ 1010.5865 \\ 1347.0864 \end{gathered}$ | 23.9 | $0-5 \min 90 \% A$, 10min 60\%A, $18 \mathrm{~min} 55 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $28 \mathrm{~min} 40 \% \mathrm{~A}, 30-$ $33 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $35 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, <br> Flow: $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ | 8.92 | $\begin{gathered} 0-2 \min 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 11-12 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ 15 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}, \\ \text { Flow: } 1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1-Se | $\begin{gathered} 829.2205 \\ 1036.2737 \\ 1381.3623 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 829.2184 \\ 1036.2714 \\ 1381.3448 \end{gathered}$ | 14.8 | $0-5 m i n 90 \% A$, 10min 50\%A, 18min 50\%A, $28 \mathrm{~min} 38 \% \mathrm{~A}$, 30-33min 10\%A, 35min 90\%A, <br> Flow: $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ | 8.60 | $0-2 \min 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $11-12 \mathrm{~min} 10 \% \mathrm{~A}$, $15 \mathrm{~min} 90 \% \mathrm{~A}$, Flow: $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ |

Table S3. The crystallographic data collection, processing and refinement statistics

| Peptide no | 1-Se | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PDB code | 7ARS | 7ARR |
| Space group | C2 | P1 |
| Cell constants <br> $a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\left[\AA,^{\circ}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.1,35.5,41.9 \\ & 90.0,111.2,90.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9,32.1,43.1 \\ & 114.2,91.9,109.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 39.0-1.15 | 29.0-1.10 |
| Crystal mosaicity ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Unique reflections | 24712 | 49390 |
| Multiplicity | 6.4 | 3.5 |
| \% Data completeness | 98 | 88 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {merge }}$ | 0.055 | 0.031 |
| $<\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})>$ in highest resolution bin | 1.06 | 7.25 |
| Number of molecules in ASU | 2 | 4 |
| Total number of atoms | 835 | 1585 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ test set | 1001 reflections (4\%) | 998 reflections (2\%) |
| $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 0.174/0.220 | 0.129/0.161 |
| R.m.s.d. - bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.020 | 0.024 |
| R.m.s.d. - bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Ramachandran outlies | 0 | 0 |
| Average B-factor, all atoms ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | 21 | 16 |
| Wilson B-factor ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | 14 | 11 |
| Anisotropy | 0.498 | 0.568 |
| Bulk solvent $\mathrm{K}_{\text {solv }}\left(\mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}\right), \mathrm{B}_{\text {solv }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | 0.34, 47 | 0.30, 47 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ correlation | 0.96 | 0.98 |



Figure S1. Colored multiple sequence alignment of peptides $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ done using CLUSTAL $\mathrm{O}(1.2 .4) .{ }^{[520]}$


Figure S2. Circular dichroism (ellipticity at 222 nm ) as a function of temperature and guanidine hydrochloride (denaturant) concentration for peptide 1. Experimental data are shown as blue open circles, while the surface represents the fitted model with thermodynamic parameters (for 293 K ) of the unfolding equilibrium is shown on the right.


Figure S3. Superposition of all the crystallographically independent peptides, including double conformations: peptide 1 chains A (yellow), B (red), C (blue), D (green); peptide 1-Se chains A (orange) and B (violet).


Figure S4. The crystal structure of peptide 1. The hydrogen bond network (thin green lines, A) and the packing of the hydrophobic core (space-filling spheres, B) in the monomer. Carbon atoms of the $\beta$-amino acid residues are shown in green. The hydrophobic residues' interface (space-filling spheres, C) in the dimer. The main chain of peptide $\mathbf{1}$ is shown as a solid ribbon.


Figure S5. Overlay of the experimental scattering curve from peptide $\mathbf{1}$ (blue points) and the putative signal from the miniprotein dimer (red line). The inset is a superimposed structure of the $\mathbf{1}$ dimer in a molecular envelope calculated from the experimental sr-SAXS curve.


Figure S6. The comparison of the miniprotein 1 dimer (cyan) and its structure modified to fit the experimental SAXS curve better (green). The modelling of subunits suggests that the dimer is slightly less compact in the solution as compared to the crystal.

Table S4. Root-mean-square deviation (r.m.s.d.) in $\AA$ for pairwise superpositions of $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ atoms of the peptide $\mathbf{1}$ chains (peptide $\mathbf{1}$ chains: A, B, C, D and peptide 1-Se chains: A, B), where double conformation residues are separated (conformations: a and b for each chain). Superpositions were analyzed using the program Align. ${ }^{[S 19]}$ The program did not take into account $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ atoms from $\beta$-amino acid residues ( $24 \mathrm{XCP}, 28 \mathrm{XCP}, 31 \mathrm{XCP}$ ). Numbers of $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ atom pairs included in the comparison are given in brackets.

| peptide - <br> chain -conformation | N-A-b | N-B-a | N-B-b | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{a}$ | N-C-b | N-D-a | N-D-b | Se-A-a | Se-A-b | Se-B-a | Se-B-b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-A-a | 0.07 (32) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 0.58(32) \\ 0.36(31) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.58(32) \\ & 0.36(31) \end{aligned}$ | 0.20 (30) | 0.16 (30) | 0.49 (30) | 0.38 (30) | 0.27 (31) | 0.30 (31) | 0.81 (24) | 0.23 (24) |
| N-A-b | -- - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57(32) \\ & 0.35(31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.57(32) \\ & 0.35(31) \end{aligned}$ | 0.18 (30) | 0.14 (30) | 0.48 (30) | 0.36 (30) | 0.27 (31) | 0.30 (31) | 1.16 (24) | 0.21 (24) |
| N-B-a | -- - | - - - | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0.03(32) \\ 0.00(31) \end{array}$ | 0.30 (30) | 0.26 (30) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.54(30) \\ & 0.27(29) \end{aligned}$ | 0.23 (30) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.49(31) \\ & 0.42(30) \end{aligned}$ | 0.32 (31) | 0.62 (24) | 0.22 (24) |
| N-B-b | -- - | -- - | -- - | 0.29 (30) | 0.26 (30) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.55(30) \\ & 0.28(29) \end{aligned}$ | 0.23 (30) | 0.48 (31) | 0.32 (31) | 0.62 (24) | 0.22 (24) |
| N-C-a | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | 0.25 (30) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08(30) \\ & 0.47(29) \end{aligned}$ | 0.35 (30) | 0.25 (30) | 0.30 (30) | 0.79 (24) | 0.20 (24) |
| N-C-b | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99(30) \\ & 0.33(29) \end{aligned}$ | 0.37 (30) | 0.32 (30) | 0.31 (30) | 0.78 (24) | 0.17 (24) |
| N-D-a | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.99(30) \\ & 0.30(29) \end{aligned}$ | 0.61 (30) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.45(30) \\ & 0.36(29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91(24) \\ & 0.53(23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1.17(24) \\ 0.32(23) \end{array}$ |
| N-D-b | -- - | -- - | - | -- - | -- | -- - | -- - | 0.46 (30) | 0.30 (30) | 0.55 (24) | 0.32 (24) |
| Se-A-a | -- - | -- - | - | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | 0.43 (31) | 0.93 (24) | 0.32 (24) |
| Se-A-b | -- - | --- | -- - | - | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- - | 0.67 (24) | 0.29 (24) |
| Se-B-a | -- | -- | - | -- | -- - | -- - | -- - | -- | --- | --- | 0.68 (24) |

1


3


5


2


4


1Se


Figure S7. Analytical chromatograms of purified peptides 1-5 and $\mathbf{1 S e}$ (absorbance measured at 220 nm ).
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Figure S8. Preparative chromatograms of crude peptides 1-5 and 1Se (blue, pink and red lines indicate absorbance measured at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 220 \mathrm{~nm}$ and 280 nm ).
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Figure S8 (CONTINUED). Preparative chromatograms of crude peptides 1-5 and 1Se (blue, pink and red lines indicate absorbance measured at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 220 \mathrm{~nm}$ and 280 nm ).
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