A new proposal for urease mechanism based on the crystal structures of the native and inhibited enzyme from Bacillus pasteurii: why urea hydrolysis costs two nickels
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Background: Urease catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea, the final step of organic nitrogen mineralization, using a bimetallic nickel centre. The role of the active site metal ions and amino acid residues has not been elucidated to date. Many pathologies are associated with the activity of ureolytic bacteria, and the efficiency of soil nitrogen fertilization with urea is severely decreased by urease activity. Therefore, the development of urease inhibitors would lead to a reduction of environmental pollution, to enhanced efficiency of nitrogen uptake by plants, and to improved therapeutic strategies for treatment of infections due to ureolytic bacteria. Structure-based design of urease inhibitors would require knowledge of the enzyme mechanism at the molecular level.

Results: The structures of native and inhibited urease from Bacillus pasteurii have been determined at a resolution of 2.0 Å by synchrotron X-ray cryogenic crystallography. In the native enzyme, the coordination sphere of each of the two nickel ions is completed by a water molecule and a bridging hydroxide. A fourth water molecule completes a tetrahedral cluster of solvent molecules. The enzyme crystalized in the presence of phenylphosphorodiamidate contains the tetrahedral transition-state analogue diamid phosphoric acid, bound to the two nickel ions in an unprecedented mode. Comparison of the native and inhibited structures reveals two distinct conformations of the flap lining the active-site cavity.

Conclusions: The mode of binding of the inhibitor, and a comparison between the native and inhibited urease structures, indicate a novel mechanism for enzymatic urea hydrolysis which reconciles the available structural and biochemical data.

Introduction

The recent determination of the X-ray structure of urease from a bacterial source, Klebsiella aerogenes [1,2], has provided the first three-dimensional structural model of the enzyme, a key step towards the understanding of the catalytic mechanism. In the active site of native K. aerogenes urease (KAU), two nickel ions are held at a separation of 3.6 Å by the bridging carboxylate group of a carbamylated lysine residue [1]. Both ions are further coordinated by two histidines, while one of them is also bound to an aspartate. In the first structural model reported [2.2 Å resolution, 92% completeness, R factor = 18.2%, PDB code 1KAU] [1], a disordered water molecule was proposed to bind the two metal ions asymmetrically. This model suggested two different coordination geometries for the nickel ions: one tri-coordinated pseudotetrahedral (with low occupancy of the fourth site by a water molecule); the other penta-coordinated distorted trigonal bipyramidal (with incomplete occupancy of a coordination site by a water molecule).

This structural model for the active site of native KAU was in conflict with all previous spectroscopic data on urases from K. aerogenes [3] and from jack bean (Canavalia ensiformis) [3–9], which had indicated the presence of hexa- or penta-coordinated slightly distorted octahedral nickel ions. It has been remarked that the inconsistencies between the spectroscopic and structural analyses could be resolved by the presence of low molecular weight, non-protein ligands in the vicinity of the metal ions, possibly water molecules or hydroxide ions bound to the nickel centers either in an end-on or a bridging mode [10]. These were not detected in the first model of KAU, because of the relatively low resolution and lack of completeness of the diffraction data [1]. The solution of this conflict is extremely important for understanding the catalytic mechanism of urea hydrolysis, for which the role of water molecules (or hydroxide ions) in the active site is crucial [11]. The location of water molecules in the active site of KAU has been the subject of intense discussions [2,12]. In the most recent model proposed for KAU (2.2 Å resolution, completeness
not reported, R factor = 17.3%, PDB code 1FWJ), the additional electron density found in the neighborhood of the nickel ions was suggested to be water molecules in sites that are only partially occupied, because the oxygen–oxygen distances between them (2.0–2.5 Å) were considered too short to fully occupy the sites [2,12]. Therefore the presence and position of solvent molecules bound to the nickel center remained ambiguous.

In order to tackle the ‘water problem’ for the active site of this hydrolytic metallo-enzyme and to contribute to the understanding of its catalytic and inhibition mechanisms, urease from Bacillus pasteurii, a highly ureolytic soil bacterium, was purified [13] and crystallized [14]. B. pasteurii urease (BPU) is heteropolymeric [13] (M,α = 61.4 kDa; M,β = 14.0 kDa; M,γ = 11.1 kDa [15]) and features an active site containing two nickel ions [13]. The primary structures of BPU [15] and KAU [16] are highly homologous (63% (α), 46% (β) and 61% (γ), respectively), and the amino acid residues ligating the nickel ions are conserved [15]. Ni-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) studies, carried out on BPU, suggest an average coordination environment of the pseudo-octahedral nickel ions made of five or six nitrogen or oxygen ligands, with two of the ligands being histidine imidazole sidechains [10]. Synchrotron X-ray cryogenic data collection for BPU, both in the native form and inhibited with β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME), has been reported [14], while the structure and binding mode of β-ME to the active site (1.65 Å resolution, 98.7% completeness, R factor = 16%, PDB code 1UBP) has recently been described [17].

This account presents a detailed three-dimensional structure of native BPU, finally showing a clear picture of the water/hydroxide molecules in the active site, together with the structure of the enzyme crystallized in the presence of phenylphosphorodiamidate (NH₂)₂PO(OPh), PPD, a well-known urease inhibitor [18–21], showing the presence of diamidophosphoric acid (NH₂)₂PO(OH), DAP, bound to the nickel ions in the active site. The present results yield information on the structure of BPU in the resting state and bound to a transition-state analogue, and provide strong support for the formulation of a novel catalytic mechanism of enzymatic urea hydrolysis.

Results and discussion

Urease architecture and topology

BPU is an heteropolymeric molecule (αβγ)₃ with exact threefold symmetry (Figure 1), as four urease molecules lie on the ‘special’ positions of point symmetry 3 present in the P6₃22 hexagonal cell. In both native and inhibited BPU, the protein backbone of the three subunits closely matches both the secondary and the tertiary structures found in KAU. The α subunit consists of an (αβ)₈ barrel domain and a β-type domain. The β subunit, located on the external surface of the trimer, is predominantly β structure, but features an additional C-terminal α helix of 12 amino acids (109–120; the BPU consensus sequence will be used hereafter), not found in KAU, which does not interact with the other subunits. The three γ subunits consist of αβ domains located on top of each pair of α subunits, thereby favouring their association into a trimer.

The biggest conformational change between native BPU and KAU occurs in the helix–turn–helix motif (residues 311–340) of the α subunit, lining the active-site cleft. In KAU, this motif is characterized by high mobility as judged by the temperature factors [12]; this mobility is proposed to be important for regulating both the access of the substrate to the active site and the release of the reaction products.
The motif thereby acts as a ‘flap’, and shows large displacement parameters also in native BPU, but has a significantly different conformation compared with native KAU [1]. In particular, the flap can be considered to be in an ‘open’ conformation as opposed to the ‘closed’ conformation in KAU. In contrast, in BPU crystallized in the presence of PPD, the flap is in the ‘closed’ conformation, very similar to that found in native KAU (Figure 2). In BPU inhibited with β-ME, the flap is ‘open’ [17], as is also found in the Cysα319Tyr mutant of KAU [12]. The various structural data, therefore, indicate the existence of two distinct conformations of the flap. The amino acid sequence of the helix–turn–helix motif of the flap in BPU and KAU is conserved in the first and second helices (except for an Asp/Glu substitution), but not in the loop, which changes from Asp–Pro–Asp in KAU to Lys–Asn–Asn in BPU. Clearly, the loop sequence identity is not necessary for flexibility. In contrast, the regions of the structure that act as the fulcrum of the conformational change (residues 306–310 and 341–348) are highly conserved.

**Active site of native BPU**

In BPU, each α subunit hosts an active site characterized by the presence of a binuclear nickel center. Each active site is separated from the other by 51 Å. The metal ions are located roughly half-way down a 15 Å deep active-site cleft (Figure 2). The electron density in the active site is very well defined, providing a clear picture of the nickel coordination (Figure 3a). The two nickel ions are bridged by the carboxylate group of the carbamylated Lysα220*, (where the asterisk denotes the fact that the lysine residue is unconventional in the sense that the NH₂ group is carbamylated) bound to Ni(1) through Oθ1 and to Ni(2) through Oθ2. Ni(1) is further coordinated by Hisα249 Nδ and Hisα327 Nε, while Ni(2) is bound to Hisα137 Nε, Hisα139 Nε, and Aspα363 Oθ1 (Table 1). Both nickel ions are well ordered (B factors of 19.1 and 17.9 Å² for Ni(1) and Ni(2), respectively) and the two are separated by 3.7 Å. This distance is significantly longer than for β-ME-inhibited BPU (3.1 Å) [17], and is very close to that found in native KAU (3.6 Å) [1,12].
entails four well-ordered water/hydroxide molecules —
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three cases were dismissed because of the low electron
density in the center of the tetrahedron, insufficient for
either sulphur or phosphorous, whereas for tetraaquo-
lithium the alkali metal ion could be placed in the central
density only by restraining its position. The resulting Li–O
distances, however, refined to very short values (~1.5 Å)
compared with those found in small-molecule structures of the lithium tetraquo ion (1.85–2.05 Å) [23].

The best model, completely accounting for the tetrahedral
density as evidenced in the omit map shown in Figure 3b,
entails four well-ordered water/hydroxide molecules —
W(1), W(2), W(3) and W(B) — (B factors 16.8–22.8 Å²).

One of these (W(B)) symmetrically bridges the two nickel
ions, whereas W(1) and W(2) complete the coordination polyhedron around the nickel ions: distorted square-pyra-
midal for Ni(1) and distorted octahedral for Ni(2). The
basal plane of the Ni(1) square pyramid consists of Hisε275
Ne, Hisα249 Nδ, W(1) and W(B), the apical ligand being the
O91 atom of the bridging carbamylated Lysε220* (Figure 4).
A fourth water molecule, W(3), is found close to W(B) (at
2.3 Å), W(1) (at 2.2 Å) and W(2) (at 2.4 Å).

The pKₙ of a water bound to the Ni²⁺ hexaquo ion is 10.6
[24], suggesting the assignment of the protonation state of
W(1) and W(2) at the optimum pH for BPU activity (pH 8.0
[25]) as water molecules. In water-bridged bimetallic com-
plexes, the first pKₙ for the bridging water decreases signifi-
cantly to very acidic values, while the pKₙ for hydroxide
deprotonation is slightly lower than the pKₙ of the first ion-
ization of a single ion bound to water [24]. The estimated
pKₙ for the deprotonation of the Ni bridging hydroxide
(−9–10) suggests that, at pH 8.0, W(B) is in the hydroxo
form. In this context, W(B) is at hydrogen-bonding distance
from Asp363 O62 (2.5 Å) (Figure 4a). Overall, two different
kinds of ligands bridge the bimetallic nickel cluster (the car-
boxylic group of the carbamylated lysine and the hydroxo-
dioxide molecule), accounting for the observation of weak
antiferromagnetic coupling [7]. The increase of the antifer-
romagnetic coupling constant observed above pH 9 [26] can
be explained by the deprotonation of the bridging hydro-
oxide to the oxide form, which mediates a more efficient
magnetic interaction than hydroxide.

W(1) is at 2.9 Å from Hisε222 Ne, which is protonated and
acts as a hydrogen-bonding donor, as deduced from the
interaction of Hisε222 Nδ with the peptide NH group of
Aspε224 (at 2.9 Å) (Figure 4b). Meanwhile, W(2) forms a
strong hydrogen bond with Alaα170 O (at 2.9 Å), which
acts as hydrogen-bonding acceptor (Figure 4b). The
distances between W(B), W(1), and W(2) are short (2.1–2.2 Å)
as compared with the usual interatomic distance in a
regular octahedral [Ni(H₂O)₆]²⁺ ion (~2.9 Å) [23]. This can
be explained by assuming the presence of a four-centered
hydrogen-bonding network involving a proton located in
the center of the tetrahedron constituted by W(1), W(2),
W(3) and W(B), as shown in Figure 4b. In this scheme, the
orientation of the hydrogen atom of the bridging hydroxide
is dictated by the position of the two nickel ions and of
Aspε363 O62, such that a lone pair points towards the center
of the tetrahedron. At the same time, the role of hydrogen-
bonding donor and acceptor played by Hisε222 Ne and
Alaα170 O, respectively, coupled with their directionality
and with the orientation of the W(1)–Ni(1) and W(2)–Ni(2)
coordination bonds, drives a proton on W(1) and a lone
pair on W(2) towards the center of the tetrahedron. Finally,
W(3) could use one of its available lone pairs to complete
the four-centered hydrogen-bonding network, as also sup-
ported by the orientation of a hydrogen bond between W(3)

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Native</th>
<th>DAP-inhibited</th>
<th>KAU [12]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nickel–ligand distances (Å)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)–Lysε220 O91</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)–O91</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)–Hisα249 Nδ</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)–Hisε275 Ne</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(2)–Lysε220 O82</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(2)–O82</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(2)–Hisα170 Nδ</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(2)–Hisα139 Nδ</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(2)–Aspε363 O61</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)–Ni(2)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ligand–nickel–ligand angles (°)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Native</th>
<th>DAP-inhibited</th>
<th>KAU [12]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lysε220 O91–Ni(1)–Hisα249 Nδ</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysε220 O91–Ni(1)–Hisε275 Ne</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysε220 O91–Ni(1)–O91</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysε220 O82–Ni(1)–O82</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hisε249 Nδ–Ni(1)–Hisε275 Ne</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O82–Ni(1)–O91</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O82–Ni(1)–Hisε275 Ne</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysε220 O82–Ni(2)–Hisα170 Nδ</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysε220 O82–Ni(2)–O82</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysε220 O82–Ni(2)–Oδ2 (Nδ)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hisα139 Nδ–Ni(2)–Hisα139 Nδ</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(2)–Oδ2–Ni(2)–Oδ2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysε220 O82–Ni(2)–Aspε363 O61</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(1)–Oδ2–Ni(2)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BPU consensus sequence. †The suffix B indicates the bridging atom.
The suffix T indicates the terminal atom.

The difference Fourier maps unambiguously show a tetra-
hedrally shaped volume of electron density located towards
the open side of the active-site cleft in the vicinity of the
two nickel ions. This density is symmetrically posi-
tioned between the metal centers, and suggests the presen-
tce of non-protein ligands completing the metal coordi-
nation spheres. Several attempts to interpret this density
involved the fitting and refining of phosphate or sulphite
(present in the purification buffers), and sulphate or tetra-
aquo-lithium (present in the precipitant solution): the first
three cases were dismissed because of the low electron
density in the center of the tetrahedron, insufficient for
either sulphur or phosphorous, whereas for tetraaquo-
lithium the alkali metal ion could be placed in the central
density only by restraining its position. The resulting Li–O
distances, however, refined to very short values (~1.5 Å)
compared with those found in small-molecule structures of the lithium tetraquo ion (1.85–2.05 Å) [23].

Table 1: Selected distances and angles around the Ni centers in ureases.
and the oxygen atom of a sulphate ion found in the cavity (Figures 3 and 4). The sulphate ion is located between the four-water/hydroxide cluster and the nearby Arg$^{\alpha}339$, in a position occupied, in native KAU, by the imidazole ring of His$^{\alpha}323$. Arg$^{\alpha}339$ extends from the very bottom of the active-site cleft, and forms a strong salt bridge with the sulphate ion, which in turn forms a hydrogen bond with His$^{\alpha}323$ N$^{\varepsilon}$ (at 2.7 Å). The presence of sulphate is probably due to its high concentration in the crystallization buffer. The crystals of KAU were also obtained from sulphate-rich solutions, but none of the published structures shows sulphate binding [1,2,12,22,27,28]. W(3) is at the center of additional possible multiple hydrogen-bonding interactions, being 3.3 Å from Asp$^{\alpha}363$ O$^{\delta}2$, 3.4 Å from Gly$^{\alpha}280$ O, and 2.7 Å from a disordered water molecule situated towards the opening of the active-site cleft (Figure 3).

Using the protonation scheme reported in Figure 4b, and considering the O–O distances observed, it can be calculated that the four O–H distances within the tetrahedral cluster of solvent molecules are between 1.3 and 1.4 Å. These values agree well with the very short hydrogen-bonding distances (1.2–1.5 Å) expected for charge-assisted hydrogen bonds [29] as in our case, where a hydroxide ion is present in the hydrogen-bond network. In conclusion, the hydrogen-bonding network just described can rationalize the short O–O distances found in the active site of BPU. A further justification is provided by a detailed analysis of the interactions between W(1) or W(2) and the amino acids facing the active-site cavity, which reveals the presence of close van der Waals contacts between W(1) and His$^{\alpha}249$ C$^{\varepsilon}$ (3.5 Å), His$^{\alpha}249$ N$^{\delta}$ (3.2 Å), Gly$^{\alpha}280$ O (3.7 Å), and Lys$^{\alpha}220^*$ O$^{\delta}1$ (3.4 Å), and between W(2) and His$^{\alpha}139$ C$^{\varepsilon}$ (3.2 Å), His$^{\alpha}139$ N$^{\varepsilon}$ (3.0 Å), Ala$^{\alpha}366$ C$^{\beta}$ (3.3 Å) and Lys$^{\alpha}220^*$ O$^{\delta}2$ (3.0 Å) (Figure 5). The figure shows that W(1), W(2), and W(3) are highly exposed to the solvent. Furthermore, their positions roughly correspond to the molecular shape and dimension of a urea molecule.

The active site of inhibited BPU

Figure 6a shows the electron density in the active site of BPU crystallized in the presence of PPD. The positions of all nickel-bound protein residues do not differ significantly from the structure of the native enzyme (Table 1). The electron density reveals the presence of a tetrahedrally shaped molecule bound to the dinickel centre through three atoms: one symmetrically bridges the two nickel ions, while each of the other two binds one nickel. The tetrahedral molecule has precisely replaced the cluster of four water/hydroxide molecules found in native BPU. Clearly, the bound inhibitor is not PPD, because there is no electron density for an aromatic ring. Evidence that, in the presence of PPD, the actual inhibitor is the tetrahedral diamidophosphate ($\text{NH}_2\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$) (DAP), produced by the enzymatic hydrolysis of PPD to yield phenol, was previously suggested by kinetic experiments [30]. DAP was therefore used to model the density found in the inhibited BPU (Figure 6b).
Figure 7 shows how a remarkably specific hydrogen-bonding pattern stabilizes the inhibitor and directs its orientation in the cavity, allowing us to unambiguously assign all DAP atoms to either oxygen or nitrogen. DAP is bound to Ni(1) and to Ni(2) through one oxygen and one nitrogen atom, respectively. The second DAP oxygen atom symmetrically bridges the two nickel ions, while the second nitrogen atom points away towards the cavity opening (Figure 7a). Selected distances and angles are given in Table 1. The structure is consistent with EXAFS data previously reported on PPD-inhibited BPU [10]. As shown in Figure 7b, the Ni(1)-bound DAP oxygen atom receives a hydrogen bond from His$^{\alpha222}$N$^{\varepsilon}$ (at 2.6 Å), the protonation state of which is determined, as in the native enzyme, by the interaction of His$^{\alpha222}$N$^{\delta}$ with the peptide NH group of Asp$^{\alpha224}$. In contrast, the Ni(2)-bound DAP amido nitrogen forms two hydrogen bonds, donated to the carbonyl oxygen atoms of Ala$^{\alpha170}$ (at 2.9 Å) and Ala$^{\alpha366}$ (at 2.8 Å). Furthermore, the nickel-bridging DAP oxygen atom is at hydrogen-bonding distance from Asp$^{\alpha363}$O$^{\delta2}$ atom (2.5 Å), implying the presence of a proton on the bridging DAP oxygen and revealing that the actual bound inhibitor is the neutral diamidophosphoric acid (NH$_2$)$_2$PO(OH). Finally, the distal DAP nitrogen donates a bifurcated hydrogen bond to Ala$^{\alpha366}$ carbonyl oxygen (3.1 Å) and to His$^{\alpha323}$ N$^{\varepsilon}$ (3.3 Å). The distal DAP nitrogen is within contact distance of Asp$^{\alpha363}$ O$^{\delta2}$ (3.5 Å). It is remarkable that the orientation of the protons on DAP, as dictated by the structure (Figure 7b), parallels very closely the protonation scheme suggested for the water/hydroxide molecules tetrahedral cluster (Figure 4b). It is interesting to notice that, in native BPU, the proton on W(2) that is not involved in the hydrogen bond with Ala$^{\alpha170}$ (Figure 4b) points in the same direction as the corresponding proton on the DAP nitrogen atom bound to Ni(2) (Figure 7b); in the latter case this proton is involved in a hydrogen-bonding interaction with Ala$^{\alpha366}$, made possible by the closing of the flap, which induces a conformational change on the carbonyl group of Ala$^{\alpha366}$. In the same way, the protons on W(3) in native BPU are easily placed in an orientation corresponding to that expected for
the protons on the distal nitrogen in DAP-inhibited BPU. In the latter case, the closing of the flap has caused the displacement of the sulphate ion by His$_{\alpha323}$ (see Figures 4 and 7) which then acts as hydrogen-bonding acceptor as a result of the presence of a hydrogen bond between His$_{\alpha323}$ N$_{\delta}$ and Asp$_{\alpha224}$ O$_{\delta2}$ (2.9 Å).

The active-site residues in the ‘open’ and ‘closed’ flap conformations

The direct observation of an ‘open’ conformation in native BPU, and of a ‘closed’ flap in DAP-inhibited BPU, allows analysis of the effects caused by this conformational change on the position of the amino acid sidechains lining the active-site cavity. In both structures, the positions of conserved amino acid residues not involved in nickel binding but thought to be important in the catalytic mechanism (Ala$_{\alpha170}$, His$_{\alpha222}$, Gly$_{\alpha250}$, Cys$_{\alpha322}$, His$_{\alpha323}$, His$_{\alpha324}$, Arg$_{\alpha339}$ and Ala$_{\alpha366}$) are largely invariant, except for Cys$_{\alpha322}$, His$_{\alpha323}$, His$_{\alpha324}$ and Arg$_{\alpha339}$ (Figure 2). In particular, in native BPU (open conformation) His$_{\alpha323}$ is displaced by approximately 5 Å from its position in DAP-inhibited BPU (closed conformation, compare Figures 4 and 7). The opening of the flap in native BPU also induces a large shift of the position of Cys$_{\alpha322}$ (~4 Å) and of His$_{\alpha324}$ (~7 Å), located at the entrance and on the edge of the active-site cleft opening, respectively, with respect to their position when the flap is closed (as in DAP-inhibited BPU). Furthermore, in the latter case the carbonyl oxygen of Ala$_{\alpha366}$ is at hydrogen-bonding distance from the active site nickel ions, is not influenced as much as for Cys$_{\alpha322}$, His$_{\alpha323}$ and His$_{\alpha324}$ by the flap opening, which induces a shift of the terminal guanidinium group of only approximately 1 Å away from the nickel ions with respect to its position in DAP-inhibited BPU.

A proposal for the mechanism of urease

The currently accepted view of the mechanism of urease is based on different roles played by the two nickel ions: one of them binding and activating urea, the other binding and activating the nucleophile water molecule [1,2,11,31]. In particular, in view of the crystal structure of KAU and of its mutants, it was proposed that urea binds to the five-coordinate Ni(1) while the hydroxide ion, bound to the hexa-coordinate Ni(2), acts as nucleophile [1,2]. This model entails a reaction intermediate which would bridge the two nickel ions in a bidentate mode through two O atoms. This mechanism raises two problems, however [2]. One concerns the missing general base with pK$_a$ 9.0 [25,31–33] which would protonate the Ni(2)-bound water molecule (pK$_a$ = 10.6) at the optimum pH for enzyme activity (pH 8), and the second concerns the role of His$_{\alpha323}$ as a general acid, which must be protonated at pH 8 even though it has a pK$_a$ of 6.5 [34,35]. These inconsistencies forced the introduction of the ‘reverse protonation’ hypothesis [2], which would, however, result in only 0.3% of all urease molecules being in the optimal protonation state for catalysis.

The crystal structures of native and DAP-inhibited BPU provide new information about the enzyme in the resting...
state and in a complex with a transition-state analogue. The results presented here prompt us to propose a novel mechanism. The presence of the tetrahedral water/hydroxide cluster in the proximity of the dinickel center in the structure of native BPU, together with the binding mode of DAP, suggest an enzyme active site accurately designed to selectively bind the substrate in an orientation-specific mode, and subsequently to stabilize a tetrahedral transition state. According to our proposal, urea enters the active-site cavity when the flap is in the open conformation, replacing W(1), W(2), and W(3), which are located in positions matching its molecular shape and dimensions. The hydrogen-bonding network, which stabilizes the binding of DAP to the active site, orients the substrate in the catalytic cavity. Urea binds the more electrophilic five-coordinated Ni(1) with the carbonyl oxygen, approaching the six-coordinated Ni(2) with one of its amino groups and eventually bridging the two metal ions (Figure 8a,b). The protonation state of Hisα222 Nε stabilizes the binding of the urea carbonyl O atom to Ni(1). Furthermore, the conformational change from the open to the closed position of the flexible flap induces a rearrangement of Alaα366 carbonyl group such that its oxygen atom points towards Ni(2) (Figure 8b). The carbonyl groups of Alaα170 and Alaα366 are therefore now positioned to act as hydrogen-bond acceptors towards the urea NH2 group, thereby assisting its binding to Ni(2). Urea is a poor chelating ligand because of the low Lewis base character of its NH2 groups; the hydrogen bonds with the nearby carbonyl oxygens of Alaα170 and Alaα366 would, however, enhance the basicity of the amino group and facilitate its binding to Ni(2). An analogous effect has already been observed in the chelating binding mode of β-ME to the active site of BPU [17].

In conclusion, the orientation of the substrate is induced by the asymmetric structural features of the active-site residues, positioned to act as hydrogen-bond donors in the vicinity of Ni(1) and as hydrogen-bond acceptors in the vicinity of Ni(2).

The bidentate ligation of urea to the two very electrophilic nickel ions, together with the hydrogen-bonding network described, strongly activates the inert urea molecule towards nucleophilic attack, by polarizing the C–O and the C–NH2 bonds. This binding mode of the substrate brings the carbon atom of urea in close proximity to the nickel-bridging ligand, proposed here to be the nucleophile in the form of a hydroxide anion (Figure 8b,c). Analogously, this process can also be described as an electrophilic attack of the activated urea carbon atom on the nickel-bridging hydroxide, in turn activated by the hydrogen bond with Aspα363, which increases its partial negative charge. In the closed conformation, Hisα323 appears to be in the proper position to interact with the transition state/product of the reaction as shown in Figure 8c. The tetrahedral transition state, formed upon bond formation between the nickel-bridging hydroxide and the urea carbon atom, is mimicked by DAP. In this process, Hisα222 and Hisα323 act by stabilizing, respectively, the negative and
positive charges developing on the tetrahedral intermediate. The proton needed by the distal urea NH$_2$ group in order to induce cleavage of the C–N bond and release of ammonia could easily be provided by the hydroxide nucleophile itself, the pK$_a$ of which is decreased by the formation of the C–O bond. This transfer is possibly assisted by the carboxylate group of Asp$^{ε363}$. In the closed conformation of the flap, His$^{ε232}$ is placed at hydroxide-bonding distance from the nascent ammonia (Figure 8b,c). In the final step, the DAP C–N bond is broken, and ammonia is released from the active site, assisted by the movement of His$^{ε232}$ when the flexible flap opens. The resulting negatively charged carbamate is then released, owing to the unfavourable interaction between the deprotonated nickel-bridging carbamate oxygen and Asp$^{ε363}$ Oδ2, in a process assisted by the movement of the positively charged Arg$^{α339}$ upon flap opening.

The mechanism proposed here overcomes the problems encountered by the currently most accepted mechanism suggested by others [1,2,11,31]: the bridging hydroxide is considered to be both the nucleophile and the general base, not by deprotonating the hydroxide water, but by stabilizing the positive charge which develops on the transition state. Our model is also consistent both with a role in substrate binding for His$^{ε222}$ [34] and with the pH dependence of $k_{cat}$, featuring apparent pK$_a$ values near 6.5 and 9 [25,31–33]. The latter pK$_a$, still not assigned to any active-site residues, is attributed here to the deprotonation of the bridging hydroxide anion, the hydrogen of which is needed, according to our model, to protonate the distal amido group and yield ammonia. Furthermore, the proposed transition-state binding mode is consistent with a binding energy larger than 19 kcal/mol, as demanded by an enzyme proficiency greater than 10$^{14}$ [2], because the proposed binding of the transition state involves four coordination bonds to the nickel ions and six hydrogen-bonding interactions. Finally, the structure of DAP-inhibited BPU suggests a binding mode for the transition state analogue that is not consistent with the mechanism proposed by others [1,2,11,31], because of the presence of an oxygen atom of DAP bridging the two nickel ions, and so excluding the possibility that the two substrates, urea and water, initially reside on two different nickel ions.

Our model provides a rationale for the presence of a bimetallic active site in urease, as well as explaining the requirement for nickel ions, in place of the more common zinc ion in hydrolytic enzymes. Nickel ions are characterized by higher affinity for nitrogen-based ligands than zinc ions [56], thereby stabilizing the binding of a urea NH$_2$ group. In addition, the presence of a bimetallic center, with the nickel ions having multiple available binding sites because of their preference for octahedral coordination sphere (as opposed to zinc ions, mostly tetrahedral), would represent a requirement for both the bridging–binding mode of urea and for stabilization of the metal ion binding to the protein through multiple sites for amino acid ligands.

It has recently been pointed out that the overall architecture of urease reveals striking similarities to other enzymes, such as adenosine deaminase, dihydro-orotases, allantoinases, hydantoinases, AMP deaminase, adenine deaminase, cytosine deaminase, imidazolonepropionase, aryldialkylphosphatases, chlorohydrolase, formylmethyleneurufuran dehydrogenases, and proteins involved in animal neuronal development [37]. In addition to the common core made of an (αβ)$_8$ barrel, all these proteins share similar properties such as the role of metal ion(s) in activating a water molecule toward nucleophilic attack on the substrate, and the presence of a conserved metal-binding site at the C-terminal end of strands β$_1$, β$_6$, β$_8$, and β$_{5}$. In this context, the novel mechanistic model proposed here for urease, the central feature of which is the role of the metal-bridging hydroxide acting as the nucleophile, is analogous to that suggested for other bimetallic hydrolases such as phospholipase C (Zn$_2$) [38], leucine aminopeptidase (Zn$_2$) [39], arginase (Mn$_2$) [40], inorganic pyrophosphatase (Mg$_2$) [41], aryldialkylphosphatase (Zn$_2$) [42], and proline aminopeptidase (Mn$_2$) [43], and purple acid phosphatase (Fe$_2$ or FeZn) [44] even though only for leucine aminopeptidase is this mechanism supported by the crystal structure of an enzyme–intermediate complex. Such a mechanistic role for the hydroxide-bridged bimetallic core may well constitute a general model for all hydrolytic enzymes containing similar catalytic sites [45]. The present detailed description of the active site in native BPU and BPU inhibited by a transition state analogue therefore leads to precise predictions for the mechanism of action of a broad ensemble of evolutionarily distant enzymes.

**Biological implications**

Urea is formed in large quantities as a product of the catabolism of nitrogen-containing compounds, each human producing approximately 10 kg of urea per year. Although the spontaneous degradation of urea has a half-life of approximately 3.6 years [11], urea hydrolysis catalyzed by urease (urea aminohydrolase E.C. 3.5.1.5), a nickel-containing enzyme [46], proceeds 10$^{14}$ times faster [47]. The products of this reaction are ammonia and carbamate: the latter spontaneously decomposes to ammonia and carbonate in an uncatalysed reaction [33,47–49].

The balanced hydrolysis of the reaction products causes an increase in pH, a negative side-effect of the action of urease both for human and animal health and for agriculture. Urase activity is involved in urolithiasis, catheter encrustation, pyelonephritis, ammonia and hepatic encephalopathy, hepatic coma, urinary tract infections, and is known to be the major cause of pathologies (including cancer) induced by gastroduodenal infections.
by Helicobacter pylorii [33, 49]. High urease activity in the soil causes significant environmental and economic problems by releasing large amounts of ammonia into the atmosphere during nitrogen fertilization with urea (the most used fertilizer worldwide), further inducing plant damage by ammonia toxicity and increase in the pH of soil [33, 50].

The control of urea hydrolysis by use of urease inhibitors would lead to a reduction in environmental pollution, enhanced efficiency of urea nitrogen uptake by plants, and improved therapeutic strategies for the treatment of infections due to ureolytic bacteria. Several classes of molecule have been tested as urease inhibitors both in medicine [49] and in agriculture [50]. The efficiency of the presently available inhibitors is low, however, and negative side effects on humans [33, 49] and on the environment [33, 51] have been reported.

The discovery of urease inhibitors has to date relied upon extended screen tests [52]. The high-resolution structure of native and inhibited urease from B. pasteurii provides experimental evidence for a novel mechanism of urea hydrolysis, thereby paving the way for the reliable structure-based design of efficient inhibitors, currently in progress in our laboratory. The structural similarity with other dinuclear metallo-hydrolases suggest analogous mechanistic aspects for evolutionarily distant hydrolytic enzymes.

Materials and methods

**Protein purification and crystallization**

BPU was isolated and purified to a specific activity of ~2500 units/mg [13]. Crystallisation of the native enzyme was performed at 20°C by the 'hanging drop method', using 3 μl of 11 mg/ml BPU solution in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, containing 50 mM Na₂SO₄, and diluting this volume with 3 μl of precipitant solution (a saturated ammonium sulphate solution containing 1.2 M LiCl, diluted to 53% using 20 mM sodium citrate, pH 6.3). Colourless crystals grew to about 0.2 × 0.2 × 0.6 mm in 4 days. The crystals were ‘rice shaped’, exhibiting sharply defined faces and hexagonal bipyraramidal geometry only rarely [14].

Crystallization of PPD-inhibited BPU was achieved at 20°C using the same protein solution as above, exchanged with a solution of 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 4 mM PPD. A solution containing 100 mM sodium citrate, pH 6.3, 1.9 M ammonium sulphate, and 4 mM PPD, was used as precipitant. Well-shaped colourless single crystals, having the same habit as for the native form, grew to about 0.2 × 0.2 × 0.5 mm in 4 days.

**Data collection and evaluation**

Diffraction data were collected on a single crystal of the native protein at 100K using synchrotron radiation from the BW7B wiggler line of the DORIS storage ring at the EMBL outstation at the Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron (DESY) in Hamburg (Germany), using a 30 cm MarResearch imaging plate scanner as previously described [14]. For cryoprotection, a solution containing 1.2 M LiCl, diluted to 53% using 20 mM sodium citrate, pH 6.3). Colourless crystals grew to about 0.2 × 0.2 × 0.6 mm in 4 days. The crystals were ‘rice shaped’, exhibiting sharply defined faces and hexagonal bipyraramidal geometry only rarely [14].

The crystals were transferred to a soaking solution containing 20% ethylene glycol and, after 1 min, it was scooped up in a cryo-loop and rapidly exposed to a cold nitrogen stream.

The data were processed using the program DENZO and merged with SCALEPACK [53]. Table 2 reports a summary of data collection statistics and data reduction for both native and inhibited BPU.

**Structure determination and refinement**

Initial phases for both native and inhibited BPU were obtained from the refined model of the isomorphous β-ME-inhibited enzyme (PDB code 1UBP [17]). The protein regions displaying different conformations were manually rebuilt with the program O [54]. The initial model for the DAP molecule was obtained using the program Chem3D.

The structures of native and inhibited BPU were refined using a sequence of PROLSQ [55, 56] and REFMAC [57] cycles with established geometric targets [58]. No restraints were used for the nickel–ligand distances. Water molecules were inserted and refined using the program ARP, keeping only those water molecules having density greater than 1.3σ in the electron-density map [59]. The omit maps were calculated after several refinement cycles of a model from which the four water/hydroxide molecules cluster, or the DAP atoms, were omitted. Table 2 reports the final refinement parameters. The stereochemistry of the final models were checked by using the programs WHATIF [60] and PROCHECK [61].

![Table 2](image)

| X-ray data collection statistics and summary of the crystallographic analysis. |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
|                                | Native           | DAP-inhibited  |
|                                | BPU              | BPU             |
| Resolution range (Å)           | 20.00–2.00       | 18.00–2.00      |
| Rmerge                          | 0.097            | 0.150           |
| Raw measurements               | 836,977          | 874,168         |
| Unique reflections             | 63,765           | 65,301          |
| Redundancy                     | 13.1             | 13.4            |
| Completeness (%)               | 96.7             | 99.9            |
| Completeness (%)               | 95.4             | 99.9            |
| (high resolution bin (Å))      | (2.02–2.00)      | (2.04–2.00)     |
| Greater than 3σ (%)            | 70.2             | 67.4            |
| I/σ in high resolution bin     | 2.4              | 2.8             |
| Space group                    | P6₃22            | P6₃22           |
| a (Å)                          | 131.36           | 131.53          |
| c (Å)                          | 189.76           | 188.45          |
| Protein atoms                  | 6054             | 6054            |
| Solvent atoms                  | 881              | 841             |
| Bound metal ions               | 2 Ni             | 2 Ni            |
| Temperature factors            |                 |                 |
| for protein atoms (Å²)         | 19.3             | 14.2            |
| for solvent (Å²)               | 34.0             | 27.8            |
| for Ni(1), Ni(2) (Å)           | 19.1, 17.9       | 21.2, 16.8      |
| Rms bond length deviation (Å)  | 0.013            | 0.009           |
| Rms bond angle deviation (Å)   | 0.028            | 0.028           |
| Rms planes deviation (Å)       | 0.033            | 0.033           |
| Ramachandran plot              |                 |                 |
| allowed region (%)             | 89.6             | 89.0            |
| additional allowed region (%)  | 10.3             | 10.8            |
| R factor (R_{free}) (%)         | 16.2 (20.4)      | 15.8 (20.0)     |
Accession numbers
The refined crystallographic coordinates for native and DAP-inhibited BPU have been deposited in the Brookhaven Protein Data Bank under accession codes 2UBP and 3UBP, respectively.
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